ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Effect of weed extracts on enzymatic analysis of *Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp and *Lablab purpureus* (L.) Sweet

Bhoomi Shah¹, Miral Prajapati² and Ruby Patel³

¹ Research scholar, M. N. College, Visnagar, Mehsana (Gujarat), India

² Assistant Professor, Shri Meghmani Umiya Science College, Ahmedabad (Gujarat), India

³ Assistant Professor, M. N. College, Visnagar, Mehsana (Gujarat), India

*E-Mail: shahbhoomi1998@gmail.com

Received October 26, 2024

Important field crops grow less successfully contaminated by weeds. The environment and human health have suffered severely from improper weed control methods and the unnecessary and inappropriate application of chemical fertilizers, and caused an increase in weed variety resistance. The phytochemicals found in allelopathic plants have gained importance as potential biological equivalents for synthetic herbicides. The enzyme activity of *Lablab purpureus* (L.) sweet and *Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp can be impacted allopathically by weed extracts. In *Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp and *Lablab purpureus* (L.) sweet, the enzyme activities of *Phyllanthus niruri* (L.) and *Chloris barbata* Sw. weed extracts treated as foliar sprays were assessed throughout the pre-flowering, flowering, and post-flowering stages. This work shows that an aqueous weed extract of *Lablab purpureus* (L.) sweet and *Phyllanthus niruri* (L.) and *Chloris barbata* Sw. can enhance enzyme activity in *Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp, hence providing the possibilities for the development of plant growth.

Key words: Chloris barbata Sw., Enzyme activity, Lablab purpureus sweet, Phyllanthus niruri, Vigna unguiculata

Applying natural plant products, particularly extract treatments of allelopathic species, appears to be an effective approach to reducing the application of commercial herbicides for weed management (Faroog, et al., 2011). Allelopathy was recently employed as a weed management approach as an alternative to conventional herbicide-based programs. Phytochemists have traditionally studied plant secondary metabolites. Pharmacologists have conducted significant studies on these compounds, revealing several complicated biological functions. Several secondary metabolites produced by plants and microorganisms have been recommended as possible allelochemicals that might be essential in organizing connections and communities. (Macias et al., 2008). In biological systems, oxygenderived free radicals have been shown to play a role in cellular damage by a chain reaction that results in lipid peroxidation. Various environmental stressors, including salt, dehydration, heat, and allelopathy, stimulate the release of oxygen free radicals, improve phospholipid breakdown, and trigger membrane failure. Increased formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) can substantially affect cellular homeostasis and metabolism by causing oxidative damage to lipids, proteins, and nucleic acids. Membrane damage caused by allelopathy in different plant species has been linked with increased production of ROS (Farhoudi and Lee, 2012; Yu et al., 2003).

Allelopathic stress may cause an imbalance between antioxidant defense and ROS levels, leading to oxidative stress. Plants have antioxidant enzymes and chemicals that scavenge these reactive oxygen species, and their ability to antioxidant is directly linked to stress tolerance, according to Apel and Hirt (2004). Romero-Romero *et al.* (2005) discovered improved CAT (Catalase) activity in tomatoes damaged by phytotoxins from *Sicyos deppei*. Plants higher antioxidant capacity could serve as a source of allelopathic activity (El-Gawad *et al.*, 2019). Weed control was also affected by utilizing aqueous extracts of *R. communis*, *T. aestivum*, and *S. bicolor* (Wu *et al.*, 2001; Mahmood *et al.*, 2013; Naz and Bano, 2014; Saadaoui *et al.*, 2015; Renathielly *et al.*, 2016; Al-Samarai *et al.*, 2018; Eassa *et al.*, 2018; Storozhyk *et* *al.*, 2019), Aqueous extracts of various *Artemisia* species were employed as a bio-control agent against weeds (Lydon *et al.*, 1997; Barney *et al.*, 2005; Onen, 2013; Kapoor *et al.*, 2019; Benarab *et al.*, 2020).

Enzymatic activities and environmental stress might trigger the release of certain compounds. Allelochemicals' composition and concentration fluctuate based on biological and non-biological components, leading to various objectives and functions (Bais *et al.*, 2003). The present investigation to evaluate protease, polyphenol oxidase and peroxidase enzyme activities in effect of weeds extracts on *Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp and *Lablab purpureus* (L.) sweet.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental Site

The current study was conducted at M. N. College, Visnagar, Mehsana, Gujarat (India). The coordinates of the city are 23°41'54.78" N 72°33'7.56" E.

Preparation of extracts

Vigna unguiculata and *Lablab purpureus* fresh leaves collected after 1 gm leaves pest was prepared using phosphate buffer with the help of mortal-pastel. Centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 7 minutes, followed by recentrifugation at 17,000 rpm for 11 minutes and finally at 27,000 rpm for 5 min. All the centrifugations were carried out at 4°C of the tube temperature. The clear supernatant was collected and used this extract for enzyme activity.

Protease

The activity of the protease enzyme was determined by the Basha and Beevers method (1975).

Take the substrate solution, prepare a 1% casein solution in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.0). 0.5 ml of the above-mentioned casein solution was mixed with 0.5 ml of enzyme extract. Incubation the reaction mixture at 37°C for 1 hour then 1 ml of 15% Trichloroacetic Acid (TCA) was added to precipitate the protein. The mixture was then centrifuged to get the extracted amino acids in the supernatant. The enzyme activity was estimated by Lowry *et al.* (1951) method with 0.5 ml of supernatant. The specific activity of the protease enzyme was determined given the known concentration of tyrosine

(standard) and expressed as mg/g protein.

Polyphenol Oxidase

The activity of polyphenol oxidase enzyme was measured by Van Leyveld and Pretorius method (1973).

Substrate solution has 1% catechol in 0.1 M phosphate buffer at pH 6.0. to 0.1 ml of enzyme extract, 3 ml of substrate solution was added. The absorbance value of the colored solution, developed after 60 seconds, was measured at 485 nm using a spectrophotometer. The specified activity was expressed as a ΔA /min protein.

Peroxidase

Peroxidase activity was calculated with guaiacol as the substrate, as by George,1953 illustrated. The assay mixture contained 1 ml of 0.1 M phosphate buffer with a pH of 6.4, 1 ml of 20 mM guaiacol, H_2O_2 , and 0.1 mL crude enzyme extract. Enzyme activity was measured by recording the optical density (OD) at 420 nm using a spectrophotometer, and the results were expressed as moles H_2O_2 destroyed per minute per gram of protein.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Proteases are essential enzymes in both prokaryotic and eukaryotic organisms, regulating nutrition and function. In both plants and animals, proteolytic enzymes have a role in metabolic and developmental processes such as germination, senescence, apoptosis, and inflammation. Proteases have been isolated from plants, animals, bacteria, and fungi and employed in medical, food, brewing, molecular biology, leather, detergent, and textile industries. Interestingly, plantderived proteases have been especially used for a variety of industrial applications because they have beneficial industrial properties such as broad substrate specificity, stability at various pH and temperature levels, and performance in the presence of organic compounds and additives (Aehle, 2004).

In the present study, The effect of *Phyllanthus niruri* L. and *Chloris barbata* L. weed aqueous extracts in different concentration protease activity from the leaves of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) and Indian bean (*Lablab purpureus* L.) for the estimation of protease which is determined through spectrophotometer as shown in **Fig. 1.** The results

showed that different leaf proteins of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) and Indian bean (*Lablab purpureus* L. Sweet) attained higher levels of protease enzyme activity followed by 100 % of *Phyllanthus niruri* L. in Indian bean (*Lablab purpureus* L.) as compared to control. Tyrosine was used as a standard for these studies.

Improving crop yields during abiotic and biotic stress is a significant agricultural target. Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) enzymes occur in most plant species, and their foliar production may play a role in tolerance or stress response, as evidenced by enzyme location and sensitivity to environmental factors. PPO has been found in all plants on earth evaluated so far, including Arabidopsis (Tran *et al.*, 2012).

The effect of Phyllanthus niruri L. and Chloris barbata L. weed aqueous extracts in different concentrations of polyphenol oxidase (PPO) activity from the leaves of Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.) and Indian bean (Lablab purpureus L.) for the estimation of PPO activity was determined through spectrophotometer as shown in Fig. 2, 3 and 4. The results showed that different leaves proteins of Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.) and Indian bean (Lablab purpureus L.) observed maximum polyphenol oxidase enzyme activity followed by 100 % of Phyllanthus niruri L. in Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.) and lowest activity shown in 25% Chloris barbata L. extract on Indian bean (Lablab purpureus L.) compared to control in 40days, 60days and 80days plants. Catechol was used as a substrate.

The results showed that Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) and Indian bean (*Lablab purpureus* L.) observed higher levels of peroxidase activity followed by 100 % of *Phyllanthus niruri* L. in Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) Walp and low activity showed in 25% *Chloris barbata* L. extract in Indian bean (*Lablab purpureus* L.) compared to control in 40days, 60days and 80days plants as shown in **Fig. 5,6 and 7**. Guaiacol was used as a substrate.



Figure 1: Effect of Phyllanthus niruri L. and Chloris barbata L. extracts on Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.) and Indian bean (Lablab purpureus L.) Pn- Phyllanthus niruri L. extracts and Cb- Chloris barbata L. extracts



Figure 2: Polyphenol oxidase on Effect of *Phyllanthus niruri* L. and *Chloris barbata* L. extracts on Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.) and Indian bean (Lablab purpureus L.). Pn- Phyllanthus niruri L. extracts and Cb-Chloris barbata L. extracts



Figure 3: Effect of Phyllanthus niruri L. and Chloris barbata L. extracts on Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.) and Indian bean (Lablab purpureus L.). Pn- Phyllanthus niruri L. extracts and Cb- Chloris barbata L. extracts



Figure 4: Effect of Phyllanthus niruri L. and Chloris barbata L. extracts on Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.) and Indian bean (Lablab purpureus L.). Pn- Phyllanthus niruri L. extracts and Cb- Chloris barbata L. extracts



Figure 5: Figure: 5 Effect of Phyllanthus niruri L. and Chloris barbata L. extracts on Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.) and Indian bean (Lablab purpureus L.) Pn- Phyllanthus niruri L. extracts and Cb- Chloris barbata L. extracts



Figure 6: Figure: 6 Effect of *Phyllanthus niruri* L. and *Chloris barbata* L. extracts on Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) and Indian bean (*Lablab purpureus* L.). *Pn- Phyllanthus niruri* L. extracts and Cb- Chloris barbata L. extracts



Figure 7: Effect of Phyllanthus niruri L. and Chloris barbata L. extracts on Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.) and Indian bean (Lablab purpureus L.). Pn- Phyllanthus niruri L. extracts and Cb- Chloris barbata L. extracts

CONCLUSION

This study was conducted to observe allelopathic effects of *Phyllanthus niruri* L. and *Chloris barbata* L. aqueous extracts on *Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp and *Lablab purpureus* (L.) sweet. Higher enzyme activities were observed in *Phyllanthus niruri* L. extract effect on *Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp compared to control. Plant necessary enzymes for growth and production of energy. The difference in enzyme activity impacts the effectiveness of enhancing plant development and might be utilized as a rapid method to determine incompatibility.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no potential conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Aehle W, (2004) Industrial enzymes: enzymes in food applications. In: Aehle, W. (Ed.), Enzymes in industry: Production and Applications. Wiley, Chichester.
- Al-Samarai G F, Mahdi W M, and Al-Hilali B M. (2018). Reducing environmental pollution by chemical herbicides using natural plant derivatives– allelopathy effect. *Ann. Agric. Environ. Med.* 25, 449–452.
- Apel K, Hirt H. (2004). Reactive oxygen species: metabolism, oxidative stress and signal

transduction. Ann Rev Plant Biol, 55, 373-399.

- Bais, H. P., Vepachedu, R., Gilroy, S., Callaway, R. M., & Vivanco, J. M. (2003). Allelopathy and exotic plant invasion: from molecules and genes to species interactions. *Science*, 301(5638), 1377-1380.
- Barney J N, Hay A G, and Weston L A. (2005). Isolation and characterization of allelopathic volatiles from mugwort (*Artemisia vulgaris*). J. Chem. Ecol. 31, 247–265.
- Basha S M M and Beevers L. (1975). The development of proteolytic activity and protein degradation during the germination of *Pisum sativum* L. *Planta*, 124, 77-87.
- Benarab H, Fenni M, Louadj Y, Boukhabti H and Ramdani M. (2020). Allelopathic activity of essential oil extracts from Artemisia herba-alba Asso. on seed and seedling germination of weed and wheat crops. Acta Sci. Nat. 7, 86–97.
- Eassa S M H, Balah A M, Afiah S A, and El-Hadidy A E. (2018). Allelopathic activity of *Sorghum bicolor* root parts and exudates on Bipolaris sorokiniana. *J. Crop Prot.* 7, 259–271.
- El-Gawad, A A, Elshamy A., E I Gendy, A E N, Gaara A, and Assaeed A. (2019). Volatiles profiling, allelopathic activity, and antioxidant potentiality of *Xanthium strumarium* leaves essential oil from Egypt: evidence from chemometrics analysis. *Molecules*, 24, 584.

- Farhoudi R, Lee D J. (2012). Evaluation of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L. cv. Koseh) extract on germination and induction of a-amylase activity of wild mustard (Sinapis arvensis L.) seeds. *Seed Sci Technol*, 40(1), 135–139.
- Farooq M, Jabran K, Cheema W, Siddique H M. (2011). Exploiting allelopathy for sustainable agriculture. *Pest Manag Sci*, 67, 493–506.
- George P. (1953). Intermediate compound formation with peroxidases and strong oxidizing agents. *J. Biol. Chem.* 201, 413.
- Kapoor D, Rinzim Tiwari A, Sehgal A, Landi M, Brestic M, *et al.* (2019). Exploiting the allelopathic potential of aqueous leaf extracts of Artemisia absinthium and Psidium guajava against *Parthenium hysterophorus*, a widespread weed in India. *Plants* 8, 552.
- Lydon J, Teasdale J R, and Chen P K. (1997). Allelopathic activity of annual wormwood (*Artemisia annua*) and the role of artemisinin. *Weed Sci.* 45, 807–811.
- Macias FA, Galindo J C G, Castellano D, Velasco R F. (2008). Sesquiterpene Lactones with potential use as natural herbicide models (I): trans, transgermacranolides. J Agric Food Chem, 47, 4407– 4414.
- Mahmood K, Khaliq A, Cheema Z A, and Arshad M. (2013). Allelopathic activity of Pakistan wheat genotypes against wild oat (*Avena fatua* L.). *Pakistan J. Agric. Sci.* 50, 169–176.
- Naz R, and Bano A. (2014). Effects of allelochemical extracts from medicinal plants on physiological and biochemical mechanisms of maize (*Zea mays* L.) seedlings. *Int. J. Agron. Agric. Res.* 5, 31–39.
- Onen H. (2013). Plant production science does allelopathy play a role in suppression of mugwort (*Artemisia vulgaris*) by Alfalfa? *Plant Prod. Sci.* 16, 255–260.

- Renathielly F, da S, Rodrigo T B, Bruno M Z, Mauricio A
 P, Samuel N M, *et al.* (2016). Allelopathic effect of aqueous extract of fresh leaf castor beans (*Ricinus communis* L.) applied to the beginning stage of soy (*Glycine max* L.) and safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.). *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 15, 2787–2793.
- Romero–Romero T, Sanchez S, San Juan A, Anaya AL Cruzorega R (2005). Comparative effects of allelochemical and water stress in roots of *Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill. (Solanaceae). *Plant Sci*, 168, 1059–1066.
- Saadaoui E, Martn J J, Ghazel N, Romdhane C B, Massoudi N, and Cervantes E. (2015). Allelopathic effects of aqueous extracts of *Ricinus communis* L. on the germination of six cultivated species. *Int. J. Plant Soil Sci,* 7, 220–227.
- Storozhyk L, Mykolayko V, and Mykolayko I. (2019). Allelopathic potential of sugar sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (I.) moench) seeds. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. Food Sci, 9, 93–98.
- Tran L T, Taylor J S, and Constabel C P. (2012). The polyphenol oxidase gene family in land plants: Lineage-specific duplication and expansion. *BMC* genomics, 13(1), 1-12.
- Van Lelyveld L J and Pretorius W J. (1973). Assay methods for determining enzymic activity of aamylase, indole-3-acetic acid oxidase, polyphenol oxidase, peroxidise and ascorbic acid oxidase in a crude extract from avocado tree bark. Agro chemo physica, 5(2), 29-33.
- Wu H, Pratley J, Lemerle D and Haig T. (2001). Allelopathy in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Ann. Appl. Biol. 139, 1–9.
- Yu J Q, Ye S F, Zhang M F and Hu W H. (2003). Effects of root exudates and aqueous root extract of cucumber and allelochemicals on photosynthesis and antioxidant enzymes in cucumber. *Biol Syst Ecol*, 31, 129–139.