# REVIEW



# The Role of Plant Growth Promoting Extremophilic Microbiomes under stressful environments

V. Rajasreelatha <sup>1</sup> and M. Thippeswamy <sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Biochemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, Karnataka, India

<sup>2</sup> Department of Studies in Botany, Davangere University, Davanagere, Karnataka, India

\*E-Mail: thippeswamym@davangereuniversity.ac.in

Received November 2, 2021

The induction of plant growth promoting microbiomes (PGPM) in agricultural and horticultural field crops considered an environmental friendly biofertilizers, an alternative to chemical fertilization. The PGPM in extreme environments are halophiles, acidophiles, thermophiles, psycrophiles and metal resistant microorganisms are mainly inoculated onto seeds, roots and soil. PGPEM improve plant growth by enhancing the availability of nutrients, the regulation of phytohormones, and by increasing plant tolerance against biotic and abiotic stresses. These PGPM colonize the rhizosphere of plants inducing the accumulation of osmolytes, antioxidants, upregulation or down regulation of stress responsive genes and alteration in root morphology in acquisition of tolerance under adverse environmental conditions. The PGPM have been reported from all three domain archaea, bacteria and eukarya of different groups such as Actinobacteria, Ascomycota, Bacteroidetes, Basidiomycota, Crenarchaeota, Euryarchaeota, Firmicutes and Proteobacteria. The microbes possess the diverse plant growth promoting features and these efficient and potential microbes may be applied as biofertilizers for crops improvements and soil health for sustainable agriculture. In order to survive under the biotic and abiotic stress conditions, these PGPM, have developed adaptive features which permits them to grow optimally under one or more environmental extremes, while poly-extremophiles grow optimally under multiple conditions. In this chapter compile the research progress in PGPM will promise on the development of molecular approaches to increase our knowledge of PGPM and to achieve an integrated management of plant growth promoting extremophiles.

Key words: PGPM, soil, acidity, alkalinity, archaea, bacteria, eukarya

Extremophiles are organisms that inhabit the adverse environmental conditions, which are lethal to most forms of life. They are found in extreme environments such as salty lakes, low or high temperature environments, alkaline or acidic environments, etc. (Singh et al., 2019). The Plant microbiomes have capability of colonizing the rhizosphere, phyllosphere and internal tissues for different plant parts and have to synthesise phytohormones, solubilize supplements, and antagonistic against pathogens. The plant related organisms have been secluded from plant becoming under the assorted abiotic stresses conditions and these extremophilic microorganisms help in plant development advancement and transformations. The microorganisms segregated from plant becoming under various abiotic stresses are term as plant related extremophilic organisms. The plant related extremophiles have been accounted for from each of the three groups archaea, bacteria and eukarya of various phylum/groups for example Actinobacteria, Ascomycota, Bacteroidetes, Basidiomycota, Crenarchaeota, Euryarchaeota, Firmicutes and Proteobacteria ( $\alpha/\beta/\gamma/\delta$ ). The organisms have the diverse plant development advancing gualities and these effective and potential microorganisms might be applied as biofertilizers for crops upgrades and soil wellbeing for practical agribusiness. The microorganisms separated from various plants becoming under the abiotic stresses states of temperature, saltiness, pH and water inadequacy are supposed to be psychrophiles (-2°C to 20°C), thermophiles (60°C to 115°C), halophiles (2-5M), acidophiles (pH<4), alkaliphiles (pH>9) and xerophiles (water potential 0.75 kPa ) (Yadav et al., 2015, 2021). Extreme habitats/niches represent unique ecosystems which harbour novel biodiversity with potential adaptations ability to diverse stresses. To get by under such limit conditions, these living beings alluded to as extremophiles, have created versatile highlights which allow them to develop ideally under at least one natural limits, while poly-extremophiles develop ideally under numerous conditions (Saxena et al., 2016). Microorganisms adjusted to the cold of outrageous soils have been appeared to have incredible potential for holding onto PGPM (Balcázar et al., 2015; Trivedi et al., 2006; Pandey and Kashyap, 2006; Katiyar and Goel, 2003). The phyllosphere is normal specialties for synergism between various expected organisms and plant. The epiphytic organisms are generally versatile in nature as they endure high temperature (40°C-55°C) and UV radiation. The microorganism identified with various genera such Agrobacterium, Methylobacterium, Pantoea and Pseudomonas have been accounted for in the phyllosphere of various yields filling in typical just as unforgiving natural conditions (Verma et al., 2017; Meena et al., 2012; Nutaratat et al., 2014). Along these lines, extremophilic microorganisms address a huge repertory of new dynamic biofertilizers, under adverse environmental conditions.

The growth rate of global population demands for increasing food production. However, in many situations, boosting agricultural productivity relies heavily on the use of chemical fertilizers, which are economically unavailable to many farmers throughout the world and can cause negative environmental impacts. In addition, environmental stresses may also be major constraints to plant growth and yield, causing low crop productivity, affecting global food security (Mimmo et al., 2018; Asghari et al., 2020). Therefore, to increase worldwide agricultural production in a more economically and environmentally sustainable way, there is the need to utilize less synthetic composts and increment plant resistance to abiotic stresses. The utilization of plant development advancing microorganisms (PGPM) is a conceivably invaluable strategy for improving harvest usefulness, food quality and security in more maintainable and eco-accommodating agrarian frameworks (Abhilash et al., 2016; Etesami, 2020). PGPM act by direct systems, for instance solubilizing phosphate, synthetizing plant chemicals and siderophores, or by roundabout instruments that incorporate phytopathogenic control (Olanrewaju et al., 2017; Yakhin et al., 2017). The endophytic microbial species belonging to different genera including Achromobacter, Azoarcus, Burkholderia, Enterobacter, Gluconoacetobacter. Herbaspirillum, Klebsiella. Microbiospora, Micromomospora, Nocardioides,

Pantoea, Planomonospora, Pseudomonas, Serratia, Streptomyces and Thermomonospora have been sorted out from different host plants (Ryan et al., 2008; Hallmann et al., 1997; Verma et al., 2015; Yadav, 2021). The production of cell wall modifying enzymes has also been shown to play a role in PGPM, especially among endophytes, such as Rhizobium, Burkholderia or Azospirillum, as these have to infect the root (Robledo et al., 2018; Razie and Anas, 2008). The enzymes such as chitinases, proteases and cellulases are involved in biological control, as they are able to degrade the cell wall of certain phytopathogens, such as oomycetes or other fungi (Magalhães et al., 2017). PGPM act as biofertilizer, expanding the accessibility of supplements, through bio-fixation of atmospheric nitrogen and solubilization of soil minerals, like phosphorus and potassium. There are rhizobacteria that can work with the creation of siderophores improving iron update (Bhat et al., 2019). They additionally straightforwardly advance plant development as phytostimulator, affecting the phytohormones digestion by upgrading auxin, cytokinins, abscisic corrosive, gibberellins creation, and decrease of ethylene (Martínez-Viveros et al., 2010; Khan et al., 2020). PGPM additionally act by implication, as biopesticide or biocontrol specialists expanding opposition against phytopathogens, through contest for supplements, threat and incites fundamental obstruction (Abhilash et al., 2016; Khan et al., 2020).

### PLANT MICROBE INTERACTION

Plants are sessile but do not exist alone always associated with complex microbiome interactions. Plants associated with microorganisms such as fungi, bacteria and archaea, allowing them to inhabit almost all of their tissues; and the resulting in assemblage of microbiome is collectively known as the plant-microbiome or phytomicrobiome (Knack et al., 2015; Smith et al., 2017). This idea has give an understanding, as of late, to begin addressing some normal developmental inquiries in regards to how microorganisms have advanced, along with their host life forms, from their unique predecessors. It is of basic significance to see how plant transformation has been impacted by their cooperations with organisms, however much remaining parts obscure. Plant-microorganism communications are a deep rooted measure for plants, as certain organisms might be leaving the plant-associated community, while others will enter the community (Baltrus, 2017). The capacity of plants and microorganisms to impart before actual contact being set up is a vital (Chagas et al., 2018) as it assists the accomplices with amplifying the opportunity of profiting with each other, without hurt. There are various phytomicrobiome groupings, for example relying upon the plant part colonized by organisms: rhizomicrobiome - roots, caulomicrobiome stem, phyllomicrobiome - leaves, anthromicrobiome blossoms, carpo microbiome - natural product, or level of closeness with the plant tissue which are named as endophyte (communication inside plant parts), epiphyte (on the outside of plant constructions like shoots, stems, leaves, blossoms and organic products) (Laksmanan et al., 2014; Chagas et al., 2018). The rhizosphere phytomicrobiome wealth, exercises and variety is far more noteworthy than the phyllosphere. This is primarily on the grounds that a significant part of the root exudation and sloughed off cells contain supplement rich mixtures for organisms related with roots (Meharg and Killham, 1990; Beneduzi et al., 2012). Numerous new investigations have distinguished valuable organisms that help plants, including crop plants, to endure the anxieties they experience, including supplement lopsidedness (Mylona et al., 1995; Yazdani et al., 2009), saltiness (Subramanian et al., 2016), and dry season (Lim and Kim, 2013), with significantly less being accounted for in regards to soil sharpness and alkalinity. In this manner, there is potential to see better and push ahead with a more practical horticulture dependent on information within reach on each actual pressure, and the job organisms can play in agrarian administration of these anxieties. A critical factor in microbial expansion in the wild is pH. The causticity and alkalinity of soils has been connected straightforwardly to soil and plant related microbial populace elements (Biswas et al., 2007; Zhalnina et al., 2015). In spite of its undeniable significance much appears to be indistinct concerning why organisms act the manner in which they do at different pH levels.

#### PGPM Inoculants on plant growth and development

Extremophiles inoculants combined or separate, can

be inoculated into seed, leave, seedling roots, or soil. They colonize the rhizosphere or the interior of the plant, stimulating growth and plant tolerance against abiotic stresses. PGPM directly promote plant growth by enhancing the availability of nutrients, phytohormones regulation, and indirectly inducing systemic resistance (Abhilash et al., 2016; Bhat et al., 2019; Khan et al., 2020; Khoshru et al., 2020; Lopes et al., 2021). The stress conditions inhibited the plant growth and development, mainly due to the increase in the production of reactive oxygen species, lipid peroxidation, accumulation of free radicals and high ethylene production, causing cell death leads in chlorosis, necrosis. leaf senescence. and damage in photosynthesis apparatus, reduction in photosynthetic rates and chlorophyll content, and change in concentrations of metabolites. It also affects seed germination, seedling vigor, plant height, root development, reduce the biomass and productivity of crop plants (Sharma et al., 2012; Khoshru et al., 2020). The beneficial extremophiles improve plant growth by enhancing the availability of nutrients, the regulation of phytohormones, and by increasing plant tolerance against biotic and abiotic stresses. Extremophiles can also secrete volatile metabolites (VOC), which induce disease resistance mitigate stress by increasing exopolysaccharides, osmoregulants and antioxidants, and reducing the oxidative stress (Varma et al., 2019; Khan et al., 2020; Khoshru et al., 2020; Lopes et al., 2021). Thus, PGPM promote the increase foliage and leaf area, chlorophyll content, photosynthetic rates, seed germination, seedling vigor, plant height, root development, and biomass production. Extremophiles inoculants either combination or separate, can be inducted into seed, leaves, seedling roots, or soil. They colonize the rhizosphere or the inside of the plant, animating development and plant resilience against abiotic stresses. PGPM straightforwardly advance plant development by upgrading the accessibility of supplements. phytohormones guideline, and bv implication prompting foundational obstruction (Abhilash et al., 2016; Bhat et al., 2019; Khan et al., 2020; Khoshru et al., 2020). The pressure conditions restrained the plant development and improvement,

basically because of the expansion in the creation of receptive oxygen species, lipid peroxidation, amassing of free revolutionaries and high ethylene creation, causing cell passing leads in chlorosis, putrefaction, leaf senescence, and harm in photosynthesis contraption, decrease in photosynthetic rates and chlorophyll substance, and change in convergences of metabolites. It likewise influences seed germination, seedling life, plant stature, root improvement, diminish the biomass and efficiency of harvest plants (Sharma et al., 2012; Khoshru et al., 2020). The advantageous extremophiles improve plant development by upgrading the supplements, accessibility of the guideline of phytohormones, and by expanding plant resistance against biotic and abiotic stresses. Extremophiles can likewise emit unstable metabolites (VOC), which instigate sickness obstruction alleviate pressure by expanding exopolysaccharides, osmoregulants and cancer prevention agents, and decreasing the oxidative pressure (Varma et al., 2019; Khan et al., 2020; Khoshru et al., 2020; Lopes et al., 2021). Hence, PGPM advance the increment foliage and leaf region, chlorophyll content, photosynthetic rates, seed germination, seedling power, plant tallness, root improvement, and biomass creation.

#### Phytohormones

Phytohormones are natural mixtures responsible for plant development and improvement. The PGPM are capable of alteration phytohormones. The differential concentration of phytohormones relieved by microbial inoculants, through the creation of auxin, cytokinin, gibberellin, ACC deaminase, abscisic corrosive, jasmonates. brassinosteroids, and strigolactones (Saravanakumar, 2012; Oosten et al., 2017; Arora et al., 2020; Khan et al., 2020). Auxin and ACC-deaminase are normally researched in PGPM choice tests. This is on the grounds that, auxin delivered by microorganisms will build auxin in the plant, and advance plant development by upgrading supplement and water take-up. Microbial auxins additionally advantageous in the guideline of cell division, shoot development, separation of vascular tissue, extrinsic and horizontal root, prolongation and surface space of root. ACC-deaminase created by microorganisms is a helpful catalyst for lessening

ethylene levels, moderating pressure in plants. High ethylene levels cause leaf chlorosis, corruption, senescence, decrease in organic product yield, root improvement, leaf extension, and photosynthesis (Souza *et al.*, 2015). Pseudomonas sp. also, *Bacillus* advance plants development by increment auxin and ACC-deaminase (Samaddar *et al.*, 2019; Khoshru *et al.*, 2020).

#### Exopolysaccharides

PGPM are known to create exopolysaccharides, shaping a defensive biofilm on root surface. This system upgrades water maintenance in soil particles and keeping up soil dampness in the root zone. Along these lines, it secures root cell against osmotic and ionic pressure, controlling osmotic equilibrium, under evolving pH, saline pressure, dry spell and temperature limits. To moderate pressure PGPM produce exopolysaccharides. This component acts to balance out the dirt ionic equilibrium, immobilizing Na+ under saltiness stress. Exopolysaccharides are created by Bacillus to expand its antimicrobial action in the dirt (Hashem et al., 2019). Exopolysaccharides producing Pseudomonas sp. and Acinetobacter sp. conferred the drought tolerance in pepper plant by forming hydrophilic biofilms around the roots (Rolli et al. 2015). Some strains of Azospirillum lipoferum producing abscisic acid (ABA) and gibberellins can prevent the loss of water in their maize plant hosts by regulating the closure of stomatal and various stress signal transduction pathways (Cohen et al. 2009).

#### Nutrients

PGPM has been studied as biofertilizer which could enhance the supply of macro and micronutrients, promote plant growth and reduce the need of chemical fertilization. Nitrogen, phosphorus and iron are essential nutrients for plants. Hence, in PGPM selection test, the phosphate solubilization nitrogen fixation, and siderophore production capacity are usually investigated. Nitrogen is an essential macronutrient for synthesize of proteins and nucleic acids. The microbes viz., Azospirillum, Azotobacter, Achromobacter, Bradyrhizobium, Beijerinckia, Rhizobium, Clostridium, Klebsiella., Anabaena., Nostoc, Frankia are biological nitrogen fixers through reduction of nitrogen gas to ammonia (Souza et al., 2015; Bhat et al., 2019).

Phosphorus is an essential macronutrient for production of phospholipids, adenosine triphosphate (ATP), and increases the photosynthesis. The large proportion of P in the soil is in insoluble forms PGPM changes the pH of the soil to solubilise inorganic phosphates. In alkaline soils, PGPM reduces pH by excretion of organic acids, such as gluconate, citrate, lactate and succinate, solubilizing Ca<sub>3</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>. In acid soils, PGPM increases the pH by production of protons, during the assimilation of ammonium, solubilizing AIPO4 and FePO4 (Martínez-Viveros et al., 2010). Sulfur is an essential macronutrient found in cysteine and methionine amino acids, these are important in maintaining of enzymes and protein synthesis. Cysteine is important in cell division, and methionine is a precursor of ethylene, responsible for fruit ripening (Taiz and Zeiger, 2017). Bacillus are producers of volatile compounds, such as dimethyl disulfide that provides sulfur for plants. In addition, Bacillus and Aspergillus produces organic and inorganic acids, acidolysis, chelation and exchange reactions which are capable of solubilize potassium (Varma et al., 2019).

#### Antioxidants

PGPM inoculants promote the plant development and resistance to abiotic stresses by expanding cell antioxidants levels, diminishing the reactive oxygen species (ROS) and oxidative stress. Temperature, pH, weighty metal, water accessibility and UV-B radiation cause disturbance of cell homeostasis, expanding of responsive oxygen species, like superoxide anion, hydroxyl revolutionary, hydrogen peroxide and singlet oxygen. An expanded centralization of ROS is an essential aftereffect of abiotic stress, and is incredibly destructive, causing oxidative pressure in cell. In addition. in chloroplasts, mitochondria. and peroxisomes, ROS actuate oxidative harm to lipids, proteins, nucleic corrosive, catalyst hindrance and enactment of modified cell demise (Sharma et al., 2012; Khoshru et al., 2020). Microbial inoculants decrease the harming impacts of ROS, in this way getting the cell, layers, and biomolecules by expanding the creation of tumour prevention agents like catalase (CAT). superoxide dismutase (SOD), ascorbate peroxidase

(AsA), glutathione (GSH), carotenoids, tocopherols and phenolics (Gouda *et al.*, 2018; Arora *et al.*, 2020).

### Osmolytes

During biotic and abiotic stress conditions, microbial inoculants induce production of osmoregulants, such as carbohydrates, proteins, amino acids, lipids, proline, glycinebetaine, and trehalose. Consequently, osmoregulation keeps up the homeostasis, forestalling layer plasmolysis, expanding union of warmth stun proteins (HSPs) and managing natural enzymatic instruments. Under saltiness, osmoregulators settle the osmotic equilibrium across the film, keep up the turgor pressure, and guarantee the right collapsing of proteins (Sharma et al., 2012; Oosten et al., 2017; Khoshru et al., 2020). Burkholderia sp. expands plant resilience against low temperature by altering sugar digestion (Fernandez et al., 2012). Submerged pressure, Pseudomonas fluorescens advance plant resilience by expanding the movement of catalase and peroxidase, and the amassing of proline (Saravanakumar et al., 2011). Extremophiles microorganisms improve resilience in plants, expanding the aggregation of osmolytes in the plant cell cytoplasm. This keeps up the cell turgor and adds to improved pressure resilience in plants. The osmoregulation component is significant for plants to endure and improve resistance under outrageous conditions by decreasing cell harm brought about by abiotic stress (Fernandez et al., 2012; Khoshru et al., 2020).

# ROLE OF PLANT GROWTH PROMOTING EXTREMOPHILES ON ABIOTIC STRESSES

Microbial diversity in the soil is affected by plant roots, soil texture and molecule size, mineral composition agricultural and horticultural practices (Doornbos *et al.*, 2012; Hartman and Tringe, 2019). The productions of root exudates, most microorganisms are aggregated in the rhizosphere. The rhizosphere is the locale of the dirt associated with plant roots, where mixtures are oozed by plant roots to draw in organic entities. These mixtures, can be helpful, impartial, or destructive to plants (Souza *et al.*, 2015; Bhat *et al.*, 2019). Host plant utilizes root exudation mixtures to choose explicit organisms in its rhizosphere microflora, setting up plant species-explicit rhizosphere networks (Doornbos et al., 2012; Hartman and Tringe, 2019). Root exudation likewise figures out which creatures will deliver adhesive in the root framework, diminishing the roots stripping and improving the contact between the roots and the dirt arrangement (Venturi and Keel, 2016; Gouda et al., 2018). Use of PGPM might be an ecofriendly, maintainable, and savvy way to deal with defeat abiotic stresses in plants. Nonetheless, if there is an adjustment of the exudative example of the plant, the equivalent confine and a similar plant genotype may collaborate in an unexpected way. Such changes can prompt hereditary changes in microorganisms making then, at that point lose the capacity to colonize the rhizosphere and, thus, their PGPM potential (Oosten et al., 2017; Enebe and Babalola, 2018; Hartman and Tringe, 2019).

### Soil: A nucleus for plant microbe interaction

Soil is a reservoir of basic natural resources, such as nutrients, for animals, plants and microbes. It is a day to day existence naturally supportive network that gives a wide scope of essential biological system labor and products going from capacity of carbon, to water sanitization, soil fruitfulness and horticultural creation (Rojas and Caon, 2016). Variety in soil attributes all through the world is influenced by climate/environment and how it is geopositioned on the globe. Aside from supplements, soil likewise contains plant-accessible water which assumes a critical part in making a fluid supplement arrangement, the structure taken up by plants (Sassenrath et al., 2018). The way that all living natural cells are water-based frameworks makes a cell's endurance reliant upon watery equilibria. For any fluid arrangement response to happen, presence of anions and cations is required. The need of suitable pH in an organic framework is essential as it helps keeping up biochemical equilibria, right degrees of proton dissociable gatherings and keep up the phone pH at close to unbiased constantly. Like some other living cells, organisms need a suitable pH equilibrium to keep up physiological capacities (Msimbira and Smith, 2020).

# Soil pH

The proportion of soil response is measured as pH. It is generally estimated in water arrangements and to

lesser degree, for research purposes, 0.01 M calcium chloride is utilized (Blake et al., 1999). Soil pH is a key condition with substantial influence on soil biology, chemistry and physical processes which have direct impacts on plant growth and development. It is clear soil and harvest efficiency are connected to pH. The United States Department of Agricultural National Resources Conservation Service has classified soil pH as follows: super acidic (<3.5), incredibly acidic (3.5-4.4), firmly corrosive (4.5-5.0), unequivocally acidic (5.1-5.5), reasonably acidic (5.6-6.0), somewhat acidic (6.1-6.5), nonpartisan (6.6-7.3), marginally basic (7.4-7.8), tolerably antacid (7.9-8.4), emphatically basic (8.5-9.0) and emphatically basic (>9.0) (Burt, 2014). Rural harvest creation is for the most part led inside the scope of marginally acidic to somewhat soluble, a window that is related with ideal accessibility of soil supplements. In all dirts, solvency, versatility and bioavailability of minor components is firmly influenced by pH. Notwithstanding, soils which fall outside of the scope of ideal supplement accessibility are assembled as either acidic or antacid and represent a scope of difficulties to plants. In spite of the fact that plants vary in their resistance to outrageous pH, most farming plants perform ideally at a pH close to lack of bias (Läuchli and Grattan, 2012). With regards to edit creation, pH variety is related with every one of the manners in which the dirt is overseen previously, during and after crop creation, which incorporates; soil culturing, planting of cover crops, compost application and lime expansion, just as precipitation and other environment factors. A full comprehension of pH is essential for improving supplement cycling, soil remediation and plant nourishment, as it influences the whole associating framework. To build up approaches to manage different angles that are influenced by soil pH, one ought to at first comprehend what causes variety in the dirt pH. One of the significant reasons for pH variety is the inborn mineral arrangement of the parent soil material. In this survey, fermentation and alkalization of soil are talked about to edify our comprehension of reasons for pH changes in the soil.

### Acidity

It is the consequence of different immediate and aberrant variables connecting with the soil; these include

nutrient cycling and organic matter disintegration, high and acidic precipitation, fertilizer application, crop development and enduring. Acidification is a continuous and reformist cycle which is affected by rural practices and now by environmental change (Filipek, 1994; Hao et al., 2019). It is the result of increased HC concentration with the HC released from Carbon (C), Nitrogen (N) and Sulfur (S) during transformation and cycling. In agricultural soils a major contributor to acidity is the application of ammonium-based fertilizers, urea, sulfur and legume cultivation. The salts from applied fertilizers have strong effects on acidification of the soil through nitrification and fixation. Comparatively, legumes are known to cause more soil acidification than nonlegumes, due to excessive uptake of cations relative to anions during  $N_2$  fixation, and also leaching of nitrates eventually resulting from fixed N (Tang, 1998; Tang et al., 1999). However, variation in N2 fixation among legumes exists, which results in variation of the acid generated with a range of 0.2 to 1 mol HC for each mol of fixed N (Bolan et al., 1991). Other factors which influence acidification by legumes are soil nutrients and nitrogen (Yan et al., 1996; Marschner, 2011). Crop growth is another factor which causes localized soil acidification as a result of nutrient uptake. Plants take up nutrients from the soil solution in ionic form with a preference for cations over anion, which leads to cation reduction in the soil (Tang and Rengel, 2003). To counteract the effect of charge imbalance, plants release HC from roots to the rhizosphere, hence lowering soil pH. In addition, roots naturally exude organic acids which cause acidification of the soil.

#### Alkalinity

Soil alkalinity can be a consequence of characteristic enduring cycles or man-made conditions. Enduring of silicates, aluminosilicates and carbonate containing mixtures, for example, NaC, Mg<sub>2</sub>C, KC, and Ca<sub>2</sub>C is connected to silicates being hydrolyzed and resulting OH<sup>-</sup> discharge, which builds soil pH. Water system is additionally connected with alkalinity of the soil, particularly when the pre-owned water contains huge amounts of bicarbonates (Oshunsanya, 2018). Dry spell is another normal reason for soil alkalinity because of lacking water to filter dissolvable salts, permitting their amassing in the upper soil profile. Soluble soils are portrayed by high groupings of carbonates and bicarbonates which can kill acids (Bailey, 1996). Thus, soluble soils are related with desertification in many pieces of the world, and this is additionally firmly connected with soil saltiness. As of late, the interest for aluminum on the planet has added to expanded alkalinity in encompassing biological systems since mining and discarding the antacid bauxite buildup (Kong *et al.*, 2017). In conclusion, over liming additionally prompts alkalization of soil. Subsequently, liming ought to painstakingly consider the information on soil acridity so that required liming material can be determined before it can bring about soil alkalization.

### Drought

Water is necessary for life, but most land plants, particularly crops, are negatively impacted by long-term inundation by water which a lack of water causes stress to plants. Studies characterizing drought-induced changes in non-mycorrhizal, root-associated fungal communities appear to be limited, however, and have concluded that root-associated fungal communities are either unresponsive (Furze et al., 2017) or exhibit negligible changes (Bouasria 2012), possibly because bulk soil fungal communities have also been reported to be generally unresponsive to drought conditions (Yuste et al., 2011; Fuchslueger et al., 2016; Barnard et al., 2013). Precipitation is the significant water hotspot for developing crops in numerous parts of the world (Enebe and Babalola, 2018). Water accessibility, lack (drought) or abundance (flood), can result in abiotic stress, restricting harvest creation (Ipek et al., 2019; Danish et al., 2020). PGPM can improve plant tolerance to drought stress (Fleming et al., 2019), as Azotobacter chroococcum and Azospirillum brasilense in Mentha pulegium L. (Asghari et al., 2020), and Pseudomonas sp. And Azotobacter sp. in Cymbopogon citratus (Mirzaei et al., 2020) and Zea mays (Danish et al., 2020). Klebsiella variicola and Azospirillum sp. can improve flooding stress tolerance by adaptations, such as the formation of adventitious roots resulting from endogenous hormonal regulation, as reported in Glycine max (Kim et al., 2017) and Zea mays (Czarnes et al., 2020). Inoculation of drought-tolerant microbes such as

Azotobacter, Flavobacterium, Bacillus, Burkholderia, Methylobacterium, Pseudomonas and Serratia mitigates the drought stress in plants, increasing plant growth and enhanced the crop yield. Plant microbiomes have a high potential bioresources as biofertilizers, biostimulators, and biopesticides for agriculture because they could be used for plant growth promotion under the abiotic conditions. Nonetheless, water stress can impact the plant-microorganism cooperations. Drought expands soil which can temperature. restrain increase of advantageous microorganisms. Flooding lessens O2 availability in soil, limiting microorganisms that are not equipped for anerobic respiration (Enebe and Babalola, 2018; Hartman and Tringe, 2019; Ipek et al., 2019). This will influence plant-microorganism association, which may restrain the microorganism potential to advance plant development. Thus, it is critical to know where the objective plant species is typically adjusted. Considering environmental change, it is essential to concentrate what the abundance and the absence of water would mean for the connection among plants and PGPM.

## Temperature

High temperature is a major environmental concern that constrains vital plant functions such as seed germination, seedling growth, plant metabolism, and reduces its yield in various agro-ecological zones throughout the world (Fahad, et al., 2017; Khan 2019). However, elevated temperature has a strong impact on crop yield that varies with different severity levels and duration of heat stress (Barnabas et al., 2008; Hedhly et al., 2009). High and low temperatures potentially caused by climatic change may become a major threat to global agriculture, reducing crop production, with drastic economic results (Ipek et al., 2019; Mukhtar et al., 2020). Beneficial microorganism inoculation is efficient in enhancing plat growth and mitigating adverse stresses caused by extreme temperature, as Pseudomonas putida in Triticum sp. (Ali et al., 2011) and Bacillus cereus in Solanum lycopersicum (Mukhtar et al., 2020) under high temperature. Under low temperature Burkholderia sp. increased tolerance to low temperature by modification of carbohydrate metabolism and increased plant yield in Vitis vinifera (Fernandez et al., 2012). Extreme temperatures are recognized stress in agriculture, reducing seed germination, seedling growth, yield and altering plant metabolism (Ipek et al., 2019; Mukhtar et al., 2020). Temperature impacts morphological, biochemical and physiological attributes of plants, interfering with plant-PGPM interaction by changing root exudation composition (Ali et al., 2011; Meena et al., 2015; Ipek et al., 2019). Therefore, for PGPM to be able to withstand environmental transformations that crop plants are exposed, it is necessary to isolate these microorganisms from different rhizosphere environments, under diverse environmental conditions, such as prevalent high and low temperatures (Etesami, 2020). This is because rhizobacteria that persist under change temperatures have the ability of improving plant growth and productivity on these adverse environmental conditions (Meena et al., 2015).

#### Salt

Salinity is a great threat for agriculture by affecting soil, microorganisms, and plants throughout their development cycle, from germination to maturation. PGPM show an extraordinary limit with respect to saline pressure mitigation essentially due to their opposition, flexibility, and a colossal inconstancy of the systems engaged with this interaction (Slimane 2020). PGPM engaged with plant-microorganism connections are markers of plant wellbeing, creation, and soil ripeness. The PGPM that foster more close associations with plants, similar to the endophytes, might be more successful in plant development improvement (Souza et al., 2015). The utilization of microorganisms for saline soil reclamation might be an earth maintainable, more secure, and more effective technique, as the halophilic microorganisms can possibly wipe out salt from saline soils (Arora et al., 2013). Such microorganisms likewise give remarkable models to considering the pressure opposition, variation, and reaction measures which may therefore be coordinated into horticultural harvests to adapt to the pressing factors brought about by environment change (Grover et al., 2011). Many strains of PGPM have been discovered to be decidedly connected with stifling assorted plant microbes by creating hostile metabolites and upgrading the resistance capability of yields to pathogenic pressure (Berendsen et al., 2018). The key instruments

associated with PGPM saltiness resilience incorporate explicit film or cell divider structures, emptying particles from the phone through salt efflux, or change of their intracellular climate through gathering of nontoxic natural osmolytes, and adjusting catalysts and proteins to work under high solute particle fixations (Ruppel *et al.*, 2013).The capacity of PGPM to improve crop yields during salt pressure incorporates numerous immediate and backhanded pathways like ferrous iron minerals and inorganic phosphate solubilization, exopolysaccharides and biofilms synthesis.

# BIOTECHNOLOGY OPPORTUNITIES AND PGPM FOR CROP IMPROVEMENT

Molecular techniques have opened up new possibilities concerning the application of beneficial microbes to the soil for the promotion of plant growth and the biological control of soil-borne pathogens. The nutritional and environmental requirements of these microbes are very diverse. The microbial inoculation has a much better stimulatory effect on plant growth in nutrient deficient soil than in nutrient rich soil. An understanding of microbial diversity perspectives in agricultural context is important and useful to arrive at measures that can act as indicators of soil quality and plant productivity. The nutritional and natural necessities of these microorganisms are exceptionally different. The microbial induction has a greatly improved stimulatory impact on plant development in nutrient deficient soil than in nutrient rich soil. A comprehension of microbial diversity viewpoints in agricultural and horticultural setting is significant and valuable to show up at measures that can go about as indicators of soil quality and plant efficiency. The different groups of microbes have been reported as plant associated such as archaea, eubacteria and fungi, which included different phylum mainly: Acidobacteria. Actinobacteria. Ascomycota, Bacteroidetes, Basidiomycota, Deinococcus-Thermus, Euryarchaeota, Firmicutes and Proteobacteria. Generally speaking the dissemination of microbes shifted in every bacterial phylum, Proteobacteria were most predominant followed by Actinobacteria. Least number of organisms was accounted for from phylum Deinococcus-Thermus and Acidobacteria followed by Bacteroidetes (Yadav et al.,

2015, 2017a, 2017b; Sun et al., 2008; Sahay et al., 2017). Soil saltiness is a significant restricting component for farming harvests particularly in dry and semi-bone-dry locales of the world. Albeit numerous innovations have been embroiled in the improvement of salt resilience, just PGP microorganisms evoked plant resistance against salt pressure has been recently considered (Verma et al., 2016; Yadav et al., 2015, 2017; Paul et al., 2008). Organisms related yields have a high potential for horticulture since they can improve plant development, under restricting or stress conditions of temperatures. The microorganisms from extreme environments are of particular importance in global ecology since the majority of terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems of our planet are permanently or seasonally submitted to cold temperatures. Plants have created different systems because of ecological boosts, like actuation of different metabolic safeguard atoms. Among the metabolic particles created by plants to upgrade protection limit are salicylic corrosive, ethylene, calcium and jasmonic corrosive (Klessig and Malamy, 1994). Of the referenced safeguard atoms salicylic corrosive has been affirmed to give alkalinity resistance to tomato plants, when applied exogenously, by decreasing responsive oxygen species (ROS) age and improving cell reinforcement protection against antacid pressure. Likewise, it was shown that SA applied in blend with Si effectsly affected alkalinity resistance in tomatoes (Khan et al., 2019). From such reports, obviously much is still to be perceived in regards to how extraordinary resistance particles and useful components cooperate in assisting plants with developing soluble pressure conditions. Plant reproducing is one significant way to deal with guaranteeing crop efficiency in pressure inclined regions, including alkalinity of soil and water. A scope of plants have shown different systems of resistance to soluble pressure, a large portion of them showing early seed germination and seedling foundation. Cultivars of lentil open minded to alkalinity stress are known to have shoots with a thicker epidermis than delicate cultivars (Singh et al., 2018). Likewise, open minded lentils (Singh et al., 2018), finger millet (Krishnamurthy et al., 2014) and Lotus tenuis (Paz et al., 2012) limit Na<sup>+</sup> take-up by having unblemished pericycle

and stele areas. Despite the presence of tolerance mechanisms for alkalinity stress by plants much remains unknown in relation to other related stresses, such as salinity and drought. Microorganisms capable for adapting to low temperatures are far and wide in these natural environments where the frequently address the predominant vegetation and they ought to accordingly be viewed as the best colonizers of our planet. In the previous few years, the variety of microorganisms possessing cold conditions has been broadly explored (Yadav et al., 2016, 2017; Singh et al., 2016; Mishra et al., 2010). Drought stress restricts the development and usefulness of harvests, especially in parched and semibone-dry regions (Verma et al., 2014, 2019; Lim and Kim, 2013). Alkaline/Acidic conditions are additionally problem area for microbial variety with plant development advancing qualities. Numerous acidotolerant bacterial genera have been accounted for from plant growing in acidic conditions. The different groups of microbes have a potential role in different possess and applications e.g. Archaea for Psolubilization and mobilization (Yadav et al., 2015), bacteria and cyanobacteria as probiotics (Panjiar et al., 2017; Yadav et al., 2017d), biodegradation at low temperature (Shukla et al., 2016; Yadav, 2015), cold adapted enzymes such as lipase, amylase, protease, cellulase and xylanase for industrial applications (Yadav et al., 2016), anti-freezing compounds production from psychrophilic and psychrotrophic microbes (Singh et al., 2016) and microbes with multifarious PGP attributes for plant growth and soil helath for sustainable agriculture (Verma et al., 2015, Yadav et al., 2017c).

# FUTURE PROSPECTIVE

Plants assume a significant part in choosing and improving the sorts of microbiomes by the constituents of their root exudates. Consequently, contingent upon the nature and groupings of natural constituents of exudates, and the comparing capacity of the microorganisms to use these as wellsprings of energy, the microbial local area creates in the communication as epiphytic/endophytic/rhizospheric. Organisms related with crops are of agriculturally significant as they can upgrade plant development; improve plant nourishment through natural  $N_2$  - fixation and different instruments.

Microorganisms may expand crop yields, eliminate toxins, hinder microbes, and produce fixed nitrogen or novel substances. The development incitement by organisms can be a result of biological N<sub>2</sub> - fixation, creation of phytohormones, like IAA and cytokines; biocontrol of phytopathogens through the creation of antifungal or antibacterial specialists, siderophores creation, supplement rivalry and acceptance of obtained have opposition, or upgrading the bioavailability of minerals. The need of the present world is high yield and upgraded creation of the harvest just as ripeness of soil to get in an eco-accommodating way. Subsequently, the examination must be centered around the new idea of microbial designing dependent on well apportioning of the outlandish biomolecules, which make a one of a kind setting for the collaboration among plant and organisms. The utilization of plant-related microorganisms is an ecofriendly alternative that can increase crop water use efficiency. Manageable farming requires the utilization of procedures to increment or keep up the current pace of food creation while lessening harm to the climate and human wellbeing. The utilization of microbial plant development advertisers is an option in contrast to traditional agrarian innovations. Plant development advancing microorganisms can influence plant development straightforwardly or in a roundabout way. The immediate advancement of plant development by PGPM, generally, involves furnishing the plant with a compound that is incorporated by the bacterium or working with the take-up of specific supplements from the climate. The roundabout advancement of plant development happens when PGPM diminish or forestall the malicious impacts of at least one phytopathogenic creatures. Future examination in microorganisms will depend on the improvement of atomic and biotechnological ways to deal with increment our insight into organisms and to accomplish an incorporated administration of populaces of microbial networks. Examination on ACC deaminase and P solubilization by plant development advancing microorganisms is in progress, and leads in organism interceded mitigation of different abiotic stress. The utilization of diverse PGPM or consortium over single immunization could be a viable methodology for lessening the unsafe effect of weight on plant development under the abiotic stress

conditions. Future research in microbes will rely on the development of molecular and biotechnological approaches to increase our knowledge of microbes and to achieve an integrated management of microbial populations of endophytic, epiphytic and rhizospheric. The established commercial success in the field of genetic engineering the DNA polymerase, biofuels, biomining, and carotenoid areas of biotechnology, extremophiles and their compounds have a broad traction in the market that is relied upon to continue to develop. Notwithstanding, to satisfy this extraordinary potential, inventive techniques should be created to conquer current barricades. The most huge is a current of absence capacity to deliver most extremophiles/extremozymes for the enormous scope needed by modern cycles. Some recombinant extremozymes can be created in huge amounts by mesophilic organic entities like Escherichia coli; notwithstanding, this isn't valid for most. Consequently, new articulation frameworks should be created with extremophilic organic entities as the host to accomplish high articulation of dissolvable proteins. Another critical barricade is the overall absence of associations among the scholarly community, industry, and government. More freedoms for ties between each of the three gatherings ought to be energized, sustained, and upheld from all sides. For it is just with each of the three cooperating that the most advancement will be made.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author Dr. Thippeswamy M express gratitude to University Grants Commission for sanctioning the "UGC-BSR start up grant" who sponsors this work and the author Dr. V. Rajasreelatha acknowledges the ICMR-RA fellowship from the Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR), New Delhi, India.

# **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

The authors declare that they have no potential conflicts of interest.

## REFERENCES

Abhilash, P C, Dubey R K, Tripathi V, Gupta V K and Singh H B. (2016). Plant growth-promoting microorganisms for environmental sustainability. Trends Biotechn. 34:847–850.

- Ali S K Z, Sandhya V, Grover M, Linga V R and Bandi V. (2011). Effect of inoculation with a thermotolerant plant growth promoting Pseudomonas putida strain AKMP7 on growth of wheat (*Triticum spp.*) under heat stress. J. Plant Interact. 6:239–246.
- Arora N.K, Fatima T, Mishra I and Verma S. (2020). Microbe-based inoculants: role in next green revolution, in Environmental Concerns and Sustainable Development, eds V. Shukla and N. Kumar (Singapore: Springer). 191–245.
- Arora S, Trivedi R, Rao G.G. (2013). Bioremediation of coastal and inland salt affected soils using halophyte plants and halophilic soil microbes. In CSSRI Annual Report 2012–13; CSSRI: Karnal, India. 94–100.
- Asghari B, Khademian R and Sedaghati B. (2020). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) confer drought resistance and stimulate biosynthesis of secondary metabolites in pennyroyal (*Mentha pulegium* L.) under water shortage condition. Sci. Hort. 263:1–10.
- Bailey D. (1996). Alkalinity, pH, and Acidification. Water,Media, and Nutrition for Greenhouse Crops.Batavia, IL: Ball Publishing. 69–91.
- Balcazar W, Rondón J, Rengifo M, Ball M M, Melfo A,
  Gómez W and Yarzábal L A. (2015).
  Bioprospecting glacial ice for plant growth
  promoting bacteria. Microbiol. Res. 177:1–7.
- Baltrus D A. (2017). Adaptation, specialization, and coevolution within phytobiomes. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 8:109–116.
- Barnabas B, Katalin J and Feher A. (2008). The effect of drought and heat stress on reproductive processes in cereals. Plant Cell Environ. 31:11–38.
- Barnard R L, Osborne C A and Firestone M K. (2013). Responses of soil bacterial and fungal communities to extreme desiccation and rewetting. ISME J. 7:2229–2241.
- Beneduzi A, Ambrosini A and Passaglia L M. (2012). Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): their potential as antagonists and biocontrol agents. Genet. Mol. Biol. 35:1044–1051.

- Berendsen R L, Vismans G, Yu K, Song Y, Jonge R, Burgman W P and Pieterse C M. (2018). The disease-induced assemblage of a plant beneficial bacterial consortium. ISME J. 12:1496–1507.
- Bhat M A, Rasool R and Ramzan S. (2019). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) for sustainable and eco-friendly agriculture. Acta Sci. Agric. 3:23–25.
- Biswas A, Dasgupta S, Das S and Abraham A. (2007). A synergy of differential evolution and bacterial foraging optimization for global optimization. Neural Netw. World. 17:607-626.
- Blake L, Goulding K, Mott C and Johnston A. (1999). Changes in soil chemistry accompanying acidification over more than 100 years under woodland and grass at Rothamsted Experimental Station, UK. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 50:401–412.
- Bolan N, Hedley M and White R. (1991). Processes of soil acidification during nitrogen cycling with emphasis on legume based pastures. Plant Soil. 134:53–63.
- Bouasria A, Mustafa T, De Bello F, Zinger L, Lemperiere G and Geremia R A *et al.* (2012). Changes in rootassociated microbial communities are determined by species-specific plant growth responses to stress and disturbance. Eur. J. Soil Biol. 52:59–66.
- Burt R. (2014). Soil Survey Staff: Soil Survey Field and Laboratory Methods Manual-Soil Survey Investigations Report, Vol. 51. Washington, DC: US Department of Agriculture. 227–234.
- Chagas F O, De Cassia Pessotti R, Caraballo-Rodríguez A M and Pupo M T. (2018). Chemical signaling involved in plant–microbe interactions. Chem. Soc. Rev. 47:1652–1704.
- Cohen A C, Bottini R and Piccoli P N. (2008). *Azospirillum brasilense* Sp 245 produces ABA in chemically-defined culture medium and increases ABA content in arabidopsis plants. Plant Growth Regul. 54:97–103.
- Czarnes S, Mercier P E, Lemoine D G, Hamzaoui J and Legendre L. (2020). Impact of soil water content on maize responses to the plant growth-promoting rhizobacterium *Azospirillum lipoferum* CRT1. J.

Agro. Crop Sci. 206:1-12.

- Danish S, Hye M Z, Hussain S, Riaz M and Qayyum M F. (2020). Mitigation of drought stress in maize through inoculation with drought tolerant acc deaminase containing pgpr under axenic conditions. Pak. J. Bot. 52:49–60.
- Doornbos R F, Loon L C, Peter A H M and Bakker. (2012). Impact of root exudates and plant defense signaling on bacterial communities in the rhizosphere. A review. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 32:227–243.
- Enebe M C and Babalola O O. (2018). The influence of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria in plant tolerance to abiotic stress: a survival strategy. ssAppl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 102:7821–7835.
- Etesami H. (2020). Plant–microbe interactions in plants and stress tolerance. Plant Life Under Changing Environ. 2020:355–396.
- Fahad S, Bajwa A A, Nazir U, Anjum S A, Farooq A, Zohaib A and Ihsan M Z. (2017). Crop production under drought and heat stress: Plant responses and management options. Front. Plant Sci. 8:1147.
- Fernandez O, Theocharis A, Bordiec S, Feil R, Jacquens L and Clément C. (2012). Burkholderia phytofirmans PsJN acclimates grapevine to cold by modulating carbohydrate metabolism. Mol Plant Microbe Interact. 5:496–504.
- Fleming T R and Fleming C C. (2019). Biostimulants enhance growth and drought tolerance in Arabidopsis thaliana and exhibit chemical priming action. Ann. Appl. Biol. 174:1–13.
- Fuchslueger L, Bahn M, Hasibeder R, Kienzl S, Fritz K and Schmitt M *et al.* (2016). Drought history affects grassland plant and microbial carbon turnover during and after a subsequent drought event. J. Ecol. 104:1453–1465.
- Furze J R, Martin A R, Nasielski J, Thevathasan N V, Gordon A M and Isaac M E. (2017). Resistance and resilience of root fungal communities to water limitation in a temperate agroecosystem. Ecol. Evol. 7:3443–3454.
- Gouda S, Kerry R G, Das G, Paramithiotis S, Shin H S and Patra J K. (2018). Revitalization of plant growth

promoting rhizobacteria for sustainable development in agriculture. Microbiol Res. 206:131-140.

- Grover M, Ali S Z, Sandhya V, Rasul A and Venkateswarlu B (2011) Role of microorganisms in adaptation of agriculture crops to abiotic stresses. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 27:1231–1240.
- Hallmann J, Quadt-Hallmann A and Mahaffee W, et al (1997) Bacterial endophytes in agricultural crops. Can J Microbiol. 43:895-914.
- Hao T, Zhu Q, Zeng M, Shen J, Shi X and Liu X, et al (2019) Quantification of the contribution of nitrogen fertilization and crop harvesting to soil acidification in a wheat-maize double cropping system. Plant Soil. 434:167–184.
- Hartman K and Tringe S G. (2019). Interactions between plants and soil shaping the root microbiome under abiotic stress. Biochem. J. 476:2705–2724.
- Hashem A, Tabassum B and Allah E F A. (2019). Bacillus subtilis: a plant-growth promoting rhizobacterium that also impacts biotic stress. Saudi J. Biol. Sci. 26:1291–1297.
- Hedhly A, Hormaza J I and Herrero M A. (2009). Global warming and sexual plant reproduction. Trends Plant Sci. 14:30–36.
- Ipek M, Arikan S, Pirlak L and Eşitken A. (2019). Sustainability of crop production by PGPR in Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria for Agricultural Sustainability, eds A. Kumar and V. Meena (Singapore: Springer), 293–314.
- Katiyar V and Goel R. (2003). Solubilization of inorganic phosphate and plant growth promotion by cold tolerant mutants of Pseudomonas fluorescens. Microbiol. Res. 158:163–168.
- Khan M A, Asaf S, Khan A L, Ullah I, Ali S, Kang S M and Lee I J. (2019). Alleviation of salt stress response in soybean plants with the endophytic bacterial isolate *Curtobacterium sp.* SAK1. Ann. Microbiol. 69:797–808.
- Khan N, Bano A, Ali S and Babar Md A. (2020). Crosstalk amongst phytohormones from planta and PGPR under biotic and abiotic stresses. Plant Growth Regul. 90:189–203.

- Khoshru B, Mitra D, Khoshmanzar E, Myo EM, Uniyal N and Mahakur B *et al.* (2020). Current scenario and future prospects of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria: an economic valuable resource for the agriculture revival under stressful conditions. J. Plant Nutr. 43:3062–3092.
- Kim A Y, Shahzad R, Kang S M, Seo C W, Park Y G, Park H J and Lee I J. (2017). IAA-producing Klebsiella variicola AY13 reprograms soybean growth during flooding stress. J. Crop Sci. Biotech. 20:235–242.
- Klessig D F and Malamy J. (1994). The salicylic acid signal in plants. Plant Mol. Biol. 26:1439–1458.
- Knack J, Wilcox L, Delaux P M, Ané J M, Piotrowski M and Cook M *et al.* (2015). Microbiomes of streptophyte algae and bryophytes suggest that a functional suite of microbiota fostered plant colonization of land. Int. J. Plant Sci. 176:405–420.
- Kong X, Guo Y, Xue S, Hartley W, Wu C and Ye Y *et al.* (2017). Natural evolution of alkaline characteristics in bauxite residue. J. Clean. Prod. 143:224–230.
- Krishnamurthy L, Upadhyaya H D, Purushothaman R, Gowda C L L, Kashiwagi J and Dwivedi S L *et al.* (2014). The extent of variation in salinity tolerance of the minicore collection of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L. Gaertn.) germplasm. Plant Sci. 227:51–59.
- Laksmanan V, Selvaraj G and Bais H. (2014). Functional soil microbiome: belowground solutions to an aboveground problem. Plant Physiol. 66:689– 700.
- Läuchli A and Grattan S R. (2012). "Soil pH extremes," in Plant Stress Physiology, ed. S. Shabala (Wallingford: Centre for Agriculture and Bioscience International). 194.
- Lim J H and Kim S D. (2013). Induction of Drought Stress Resistance by Multi-Functional PGPR Bacillus licheniformis K11 in Pepper. Plant Pathol J. 29:201-208.
- Lopes M J S, Dias-Filho M B and Gurgel E S C. (2021). Successful Plant Growth-Promoting Microbes: Inoculation Methods and Abiotic Factors, Front. Sustain. Food Syst

https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2021.606454.

- Magalhães V C, de Oliveira B L, Andrade J P, Soares F A C, Souza T J and Marbach S P A. (2017). Burkholderia isolates from a sand dune leaf litter display biocontrol activity against the bole rot disease of Agave sisalana. Biol. Control. 112:41– 48.
- Marschner H. (2011). Marschner's Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants. Cambridge, MA: Academic press.
- Viveros M O, Jorquera M A, Crowley D E, Gajardo G and Mora M L. (2010). Mechanisms and practical considerations involved in plant growth promotion by rhizobacteria. J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 10:293– 319.
- Meena K K, Kumar M and Kalyuzhnaya M G *et al.* (2012). Epiphytic pink-pigmented methylotrophic bacteria enhance germination and seedling growth of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) by producing phytohormone. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek. 101:777-786.
- Meena R K, Singh R K, Singh N P, Meena S K and Meena V S. (2015). Isolation of low temperature surviving plant growth – promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) from pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) and documentation of their plant growth promoting traits. Biocatal. Agric. Biotechnol. 4:806–811.
- Meharg A A and Killham K. (1990). Carbon distribution within the plant and rhizosphere in laboratory and field-grown Lolium perenne at different stages of development. Soil Biol. Biochem. 22:471–477.
- Mimmo T, Pii Y, Valentinuzzi F, Astolfi S, Lehto N and Robinson B *et al.* (2018). Nutrient availability in the rhizosphere: a review. Acta Hortic. 1217:13–28.
- Mirzaei M, Moghadam A L, Hakimi L and Danaee E. (2020). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) improve plant growth, antioxidant capacity, and essential oil properties of lemongrass (*Cymbopogon citratus*) under water stress. Iran. J. Plant Physiol. 10:3155–3166.
- Mishra P K, Joshi P and Bisht S C, *et al.* (2010). Cold-Tolerant Agriculturally Important Microorganisms. In: Maheshwari D. (eds) Plant Growth and Health Promoting Bacteria. Springer Berlin Heidelberg,

Berlin, Heidelberg. 273-96.

- Msimbira L A and Smith D L. (2020). The roles of plant growth promoting microbes in enhancing plant tolerance to acidity and alkalinity stresses. Front. Sustain. Food Syst. 4:1–14.
- Mukhtar T, Rehman S, Smith D, Sultan T, Seleiman M F and Alsadon A A *et al.* (2020). Mitigation of heat stress in Solanum lycopersicum L. by ACCdeaminase and exopolysaccharide producing *Bacillus cereus*: effects on biochemical profiling. Sustainability. 12:2159.
- Mylona P, Pawlowski K and Bisseling T. (1995). Symbiotic nitrogen fixation. Plant Cell. 7: 869-885.
- Nutaratat P, Srisuk N and Arunrattiyakorn P *et al.* (2014). Plant growth-promoting traits of epiphytic and endophytic yeasts isolated from rice and sugar cane leaves in Thailand. Fungal Biol. 118:683-94.
- Olanrewaju O S, Glick B R and Babalola O O. (2017). Mechanisms of action of plant growth promoting bacteria. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 33:197.
- Oosten M J V, Pepe O, Pascale S, Silletti S and Maggio A. (2017). The role of biostimulants and bioeffectors as alleviators of abiotic stress in crop plants. Chem. Biol. Technol. Agric 4:5.
- Oshunsanya S O. (2018). Introductory chapter: relevance of soil pH to agriculture, in Soil pH for Nutrient Availability and Crop Performance, Suarau Oshunsanya, IntechOpen, DOI: 10.5772/intechopen. 82551.
- Pandey K D and Kashyap A K. (2006). Diversity of algal flora in six freshwater streams of schirmacher oasis, Antarctica. Tenth indian expedition to Antarctica, scientific report, department of ocean development. Technical Publication. 8:219–229.
- Panjiar N, Mishra S and Yadav A N *et al.* (2017).
  Functional Foods from Cyanobacteria: An Emerging Source for Functional Food Products of Pharmaceutical Importance. In: Gupta VK, Treichel H, Shapaval VO, *et al.* (eds) Microbial Functional Foods and Nutraceuticals. John Wiley & Sons, USA. 21-37.
- Paul D and Nair S. (2008). Stress adaptations in a plant growth promoting rhizobacterium (PGPR) with

increasing salinity in the coastal agricultural soils. J Basic Microbiol. 48:378-84.

- Paz R C, Rocco R A, Reinoso H, Menéndez A B,
  Pieckenstain F L and Ruiz O A.
  (2012)..Comparative study of alkaline, saline, and
  mixed saline–alkaline stresses with regard to their
  effects on growth, nutrient accumulation, and root
  morphology of Lotus tenuis. J. Plant Growth Regul.
  31:448–459.
- Razie F and Anas I. (2008). Effect of Azotobacter and Azospirillum on growth and yield of rice grown on tidal swamp rice field in south Kalimantan. J. Soil Sci. Env. 10:41–45.
- Robledo M, Menéndez E, Jiménez-Zurdo J I, Rivas R, Velázquez E, Martínez- Molina E, Oldroyd G and Mateos P F. (2018). Heterologous expression of rhizobial CelC<sub>2</sub> cellulase impairs symbiotic signaling and nodulation in *Medicago truncatula*. Plant Microbe Interact. 31:568–575.
- Rojas R V and Caon L. (2016). The international year of soils revisited: promoting sustainable soil management beyond 2015. Environ. Earth Sci. 75:1184.
- Rolli E, Marasco R, Vigani G, Ettoumi B, Mapelli F, Deangelis M L, Gandolfi C, Casati E, Previtali F and Gerbino R. (2015). Improved plant resistance to drought is promoted by the root-associated microbiome as a water stress-dependent trait. Environ Microbiol. 17:316–331.
- Ruppel S, Franken P and Witzel K. (2013). Properties of the halophyte microbiome and their implications for plant salt tolerance. Funct. Plant Biol. 40:940–951.
- Ryan R P, Germaine K and Franks A *et al.* (2008). Bacterial endophytes: recent developments and applications. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 278:1-9.
- Sahay H, Yadav A N and Singh A K et al. (2017). Hot springs of Indian Himalayas: Potential sources of microbial diversity and thermostable hydrolytic enzymes. 3 Biotech. 7:118.
- Samaddar S, Chatterjee P, Choudhury A R, Ahmed S and Sa T. (2019). Interactions between *Pseudomonas* spp. and their role in improving the red pepper plant growth under salinity stress.

Microbiol. Res. 219:66-73.

- Saravanakumar D. (2012). Rhizobacterial ACC deaminase in plant growth and stress amelioration, in Bacteria in Agrobiology: Stress Management, eds D. Maheshwari (Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer), 187–204.
- Sassenrath G, Davis K, Sassenrath-Cole A and Riding N. (2018). Exploring the physical, chemical and biological components of soil: improving soil health for better productive capacity. Kansas Agric. Exp. Stat. Res. Rep. 4:16.
- Saxena A K, Yadav A N and Rajawat M V S *et al.* (2016). Microbial diversity of extreme regions: An unseen heritage and wealth. Indian J Plant Genet Resour. 29:246-248.
- Sharma P, Jha A B, Dubey R S and Pessarakli M. (2012). Reactive oxygen species, oxidative damage, and antioxidative defense mechanism in plants under stressful conditions. J. Bot. 1–26.
- Shukla L, Suman A and Yadav A N *et al.* (2016). Syntrophic microbial system for ex-situ degradation of paddy straw at low temperature under controlled and natural environment. J Appl Biol Biotech. 4:30-37.
- Singh P, Jain K, Desai C, Tiwari O and Madamwar D. (2019). Chapter 18 - Microbial Community Dynamics of Extremophiles/Extreme Environment, Editor(s): Surajit Das, Hirak Ranjan Dash, Microbial Diversity in the Genomic Era. Academic Press., pp. 323–332.
- Singh R N, Gaba S and Yadav A N *et al.* (2016). First High quality draft genome sequence of a plant growth promoting and Cold Active Enzymes producing psychrotrophic *Arthrobacter agilis* strain L77. Stand Genomic Sci. 11:54.
- Mokrani S, Nabti E-h and Cruz C. (2020). Current Advances in Plant Growth Promoting Bacteria Alleviating Salt Stress for Sustainable Agriculture. Appl. Sci. 10:7025.
- Smith D L, Gravel V and Yergeau E. (2017). Editorial: Signaling in the Phytomicrobiome. Front Plant Sci. 8:611.

- Souza Rd, Ambrosini A and Passaglia L M. (2015). Plant growth-promoting bacteria as inoculants in agricultural soils. Genet Mol Biol. 38:401-19.
- Subramanian S, Souleimanov A and Smith D L. (2016). Proteomic Studies on the Effects of Lipo-Chitooligosaccharide and Thuricin 17 under Unstressed and Salt Stressed Conditions in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Front Plant Sci. 7:1314.
- Sun L, Qiu F, Zhang X, Dai X, Dong X and Song W. (2018). Endophytic bacterial diversity in rice (Oryza sativa L.) roots estimated by 16S rDNA sequence analysis. Microb Ecol. 55:415-24.
- Taiz L and Zeiger E. (2017). Fisiologia e Desenvolvimento Vegetal, 6th Edn. Porto Alegre. Artmed, 888p.
- Tang C. (1998). Factors affecting soil acidification under legumes I. Effect of potassium supply. Plant Soil. 199:275–282.
- Tang C and Rengel Z. (2003). Role of plant cation/anion uptake ratio in soil acidification, in Handbook of Soil Acidity, ed. Z. Rengel (New York, NY: Marcel Dekker), 57–81.
- Tang C, Unkovich M and Bowden J. (1999). Factors affecting soil acidification under legumes. III. Acid production by N 2-fixing legumes as influenced by nitrate supply. New Phytol. 143:513–521.
- Trivedi P, Kumar B and Pandey A. (2006). Conservation of soil microbial diversity associated with two hot spring sites in Uttaranchal Himalaya. Acad. Sci. Lett. 29:185–188.
- Varma A, Tripathi S and Prasad R. (2019). Plant Biotic Interactions, Cham: Springer. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-26657-8.
- Venturi V and Keel C. (2016). Signaling in the Rhizosphere. Trends Plant Sci. 21:187–198.
- Verma P, Yadav A N, Kumar V, Singh D P and Saxena A K. (2017). Beneficial Plant-Microbes Interactions: Biodiversity of Microbes from Diverse Extreme Environments and Its Impact for Crop Improvement. In: Singh D., Singh H., Prabha R. (eds) Plant-Microbe Interactions in Agro-Ecological Perspectives. Springer, Singapore. 543-580.

- Verma P, Yadav A N and Kazy S K *et al.* (2014). Evaluating the diversity and phylogeny of plant growth promoting bacteria associated with wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) growing in central zone of India. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 3:432-47.
- Verma P, Yadav A N, Khannam K S et al. (2015). Assessment of genetic diversity and plant growth promoting attributes of psychrotolerant bacteria allied with wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) from the northern hills zone of India. Ann Microbiol. 65:1885-1899.
- Verma P, Yadav A N and Khannam KS *et al.* (2019). Appraisal of diversity and functional attributes of thermotolerant wheat associated bacteria from the peninsular zone of India. Saudi J Biol Sci. Saudi J Biol Sci. 26:1882-1895.
- Yadav A N, Verma P and Kumar V et al. (2017c). Extreme cold environments: A suitable niche for selection of novel psychrotrophic microbes for biotechnological applications. Adv Biotechnol Microbiol. 2:1-4.
- Yadav AN (2015) Bacterial diversity of cold deserts and mining of genes for low temperature tolerance. Ph.D. Thesis, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi and Birla Institute of Technology, Ranchi 234
- Yadav A N. (2021). Beneficial plant-microbe interactions for agricultural sustainability. J App Biol Biotech. 9:i-iv.
- Yadav A N, Gulati S, Sharma D, Singh R N, Rajawat M V S, Kumar R, Dey R, Pal K K, Kaushik R and Saxena A K. (2019). Seasonal variations in culturable archaea and their plant growth promoting attributes to predict their role in establishment of vegetation in Rann of Kutch. Biologia. 74:1031-1043.
- Yadav A N, Kumar R and Kumar S *et al.* (2017d). Beneficial microbiomes: Biodiversity and potential biotechnological applications for sustainable agriculture and human health. J Appl Biol Biotechnol. 5:1-13.
- Yadav A N, Sachan S G and Verma P *et al.* (2016). Cold active hydrolytic enzymes production by

psychrotrophic Bacilli isolated from three subglacial lakes of NW Indian Himalayas. J Basic Microbiol. 56:294-307.

- Yadav A N, Sachan S G, Verma P and Saxena A K. (2016). Bioprospecting of plant growth promoting psychrotrophic Bacilli from the cold desert of north western Indian Himalayas. IJEB. 54:142–150.
- Yadav A N, Sharma D and Gulati S et al. (2015). Haloarchaea endowed with phosphorus solubilization attribute implicated in phosphorus cycle. Sci Rep. 5:12293.
- Yadav A N, Verma P and Kumar M *et al.* (2015). Diversity and phylogenetic profiling of niche-specific Bacilli from extreme environments of India. Ann Microbiol. 65:611-29.
- Yadav A N, Verma P and Sachan S G et al (2017a) Biodiversity and biotechnological applications of psychrotrophic microbes isolated from Indian Himalayan regions. EC Microbiol. 1:48-54.
- Yadav A N, Verma P, Sachan S G, Kaushik R and Saxena A K. (2018). Psychrotrophic microbiomes: molecular diversity and beneficial role in plant growth promotion and soil health. In: In: Panpatte, D., Jhala, Y., Shelat, H., Vyas, R. (Eds.), Microorganisms for Green Revolution. Microorganisms for Sustainability, vol 7. Springer, Singapore. pp. 197–230.
- Yadav AN, Verma P and Singh B *et al.* (2017b). Plant Growth Promoting Bacteria: Biodiversity and Multifunctional Attributes for Sustainable Agriculture. Adv Biotechnol Microbiol. 5:1-16.
- Yadav S, Kaushik R, Saxena A K and Arora D K. (2011). Diversity and phylogeny of plant growth-promoting bacilli from moderately acidic soil. J Basic Microbiol. 51:98-106.
- Yakhin O I, Lubyanov A A, Ildus Yakhin A and Brown PH. (2017). Biostimulants in plant science: a global perspective. Front. Plant Sci. 7:2049.
- Yan F, Schubert S and Mengel K. (1996). Soil pH changes during legume growth and application of plant material. Biol. Fertil. Soils. 23:236–242.
- Yazdani M, Bahmanyar M A, Pirdashti H and Esmaili M A. (2009). Effect of phosphate solubilization

microorganisms (PSM) and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) on yield and yield components of corn (*Zea mays* L.). World Acad. Sci. Eng. Technol. 49:90–92.

Yuste J C, Peñuelas J, Estiarte M, Garcia-Mas J, Mattana S and Ogaya R *et al.* (2011). Droughtresistant fungi control soil organic matter decomposition and its response to temperature. Glob. Chang. Biol. 17:1475-1486.

Zhalnina K, Dias R and de Quadros P D *et al.* (2015). Soil pH determines microbial diversity and composition in the park grass experiment. Microb Ecol. 69:395-406.