

## Growth and Yield Parameters of *Triticale* as Influenced by Methanol Foliar Application Under Water Stress

Mehdi Khoshkharam<sup>1\*</sup>, Mohamad Hesam Shahrajabian<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Agronomy and Plant Breeding, Isfahan (Khorasgan) Branch, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan, Iran

<sup>2</sup> Biotechnology Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing 100081, China

\*E-Mail: [mehdi.khoshkharam@gmail.com](mailto:mehdi.khoshkharam@gmail.com)

Received March 19, 2021

Triticale is mainly grown for feed grain, and biomass production for thatching straw and common human utilization. A combined analysis with split plot layout in two years of 2016 and 2017 with 5 replications was used to evaluate yield and yield components of triticale under different methanol concentrations and irrigation managements in Isfahan, Iran. Irrigation treatments consisted of irrigation on the basis of 70%, 80%, 90% and 100% crop water requirements, and methanol treatments as foliar application on the basis of 15% methanol concentration, 30% methanol concentration and control treatment (0%). Methanol application influence a hundred grain weight was significant. The maximum plant height, number of tillers, LAI, LAD, a hundred grain weight, grain yield, biological yield, harvest index and protein content was achieved in irrigation on the basis of 100% crop water requirement. The maximum plant height, number of tillers, LAI, LAD, one hundred grain weight, grain yield, biological yield, harvest index and protein was obtained in 2017. Foliar methanol application with 15% concentration had obtained the maximum plant height, LAI, LAD, a hundred grain weight, biological yield, SPAD and protein percentage. The results of this experiment suggest that methanol can aid in alleviating the effects of drought stress on triticale in climatic condition of Isfahan. It is concluded that triticale cultivars performed superior in 2017, with 15% concentration of methanol application and irrigation on the basis of 100% crop water requirement.

*Key words: Triticale; methanol; irrigation; LAI; LAD; SPAD*

Triticale is a man-made crop developed by crossing wheat (*Triticum turgidum* or *Triticum aestivum*) with rye (*Secale cereale*) (Kamyab *et al.* 2009). Compared with wheat, triticale has superior characteristics such as higher protein content (Kamyab *et al.* 2009), less requirement for nitrogen fertilization and more tolerance to salinity and drought stresses (Ahmed and Abdel-Hady 2006; Moharrery *et al.* 2015; Giunta *et al.* 2017). Heidari *et al.* (2016) reported that triticale seems to be an alternative to other small grain cereals, particularly wheat and barley for cultivation under unfavorable conditions or in the low-input agricultural systems. It has been reported that under drought stress conditions and problematic soil regions, triticale showed distinct yield superiority and had adaptive advantages over wheat (Pfeiffer 2003; Lelley 2006; McKenzie *et al.* 2014; Roques *et al.* 2016). Agronomic traits such as grain yield and its components are the major selection criteria for evaluating drought tolerance under field conditions (Fayaz and Arzani 2011; Soleymani *et al.* 2011; Soleymani *et al.* 2012; Shahrajabian *et al.* 2013; Sun *et al.*, 2019a; Shahrajabian *et al.*, 2020a,b; Sun *et al.*, 2021a,b,c). The closely linked of LAI, leaf area duration (LAD), and SPAD (Chlorophyll index) with high grain yield have been reported for some crops such as spring barley (Janusauskaite and Auskalniene 2014) and spring triticale (Janusauskaite *et al.* 2017). Many scholars have reported that SPAD measurements can be used as a single and rapid tool to detect and select stable and high yield of plants (Nakano *et al.* 2010; Kendal 2015; Janusauskaite *et al.* 2017). Methanol spray is a method that increases CO<sub>2</sub> fixation in plants and methanol may act as a carbon source for plants (Nonomura and Benson 1992). Methanol is the simplest alcohol and can be produced through anaerobic metabolism by some bacteria (Khaki-Moghadam and Rokhzadi 2015). Furthermore, methanol is emitted from leaves of C<sub>3</sub> plants (Fall and Benson 1996). In a research, plant biomass and SPAD chlorophyll content were increased by foliar spraying of soybean plant with 15% (v/v) methanol (Saadpanah *et al.* 2013). The decline in intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> is a key limiting factor photosynthesis under drought stress conditions, and

plant productivity may be promoted by increasing the availability of CO<sub>2</sub> in leaves through applying a carbon source (Khaki-Moghadam and Rokhzadi 2015). Consequently, foliar methanol application in arid and semi-arid regions maybe useful in increase of productivity and plant growth. Khaki-Moghadam and Rokhzadi (2015) found that, foliar application of methanol under drought stress conditions at flowering stage may increase growth and yield of safflower, whereas under fully watered conditions the application of lower doses of methanol maybe more effective in yield improvement than use of higher dose. Nonomura and Benson (1992) stated that foliar sprays of aqueous 1-50% methanol increased growth and development of C<sub>3</sub> crop plants in arid environment. Most of triticale cultivars have a high grain yield but perform poorly with regard to biomass yield (Pronyk and Mazza 2011). Deficit irrigation means as the application of water below full crop water requirements, which is one of the choice to sustain productivity (Farooq *et al.* 2009; Soleymani and Shahrajabian 2013; Shahrajabian *et al.* 2017; Soleymani and Shahrajabian 2017; Sun *et al.*, 2019b; Shahrajabian *et al.*, 2020c,d; Sun *et al.*, 2021c,d). Supplemental and managing irrigation, even in semi-arid and arid climates, is one of the crucial means which guarantee stable and reliable yields. In center of Iran, because of limited rainfall, water shortage and stress, major loss in crop productivity happens. The aim of this research was to evaluate the influence of methanol application and irrigation management on yield and yield components of triticale in semi-arid region of Iran.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two year experiments were conducted at agricultural research farm of Faculty of Agriculture, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan (Khorasgan) Branch, Isfahan, Iran (Latitude 32°40' N, longitude 51°58' E, and 1570 m elevation) in order to investigate the influence of different methanol concentrations and irrigation on the basis of crop water requirement during 2016, 2017. A combined analysis with split plot layout in two years of 2016 and 2017 with 5 replications was used. Irrigation treatments consisted of irrigation on the basis of 70%, 80%, 90% and 100% crop water requirements, and

methanol treatments were foliar application on the basis of 15% methanol concentration, 30% methanol concentration and control treatment (0%). On the basis of soil analysis, the organic carbon was 1.2% and 1.0% at depth of 0-30 cm and 30-60 cm, respectively; moreover, at both depths soil texture was clay (Table 1). Mean temperature changes from 23th October to 22th October at the Isfahan experimental field in 2016 has shown in Table 2. The soil preparation consisted of mouldboard ploughing, followed by disking and smoothing with a land leveler. Autumn triticale (ET-84-8) was sown at a density of 400 viable seeds per m<sup>2</sup> with skillful workers on Oct 23th. On the basis of soil analysis, nitrogen fertilizer was used from urea source (80 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>). Half of the nitrogen was applied during pre-sowing cultivation of soil and the remaining amount of nitrogen fertilizer was applied at the tillering stage of triticale. Each plot (10 m<sup>2</sup>) consisted of six rows, with 17.5 cm spacing. The crop was harvested in the stage of full maturity, and grains yield was measured and adjusted at 14% moisture. Weed control, diseases and pest management were carried out in accordance with the crop development as required. The foliar treatments were applied at tillering stage. Control plots did not receive any foliar application. Chlorophyll index (SPAD, soil plant analysis development) was measured by using chlorophyll meter Minolta SPAD 502. Measurements were performed from the middle of the leaf blade just before harvesting using a portable meter. The plant height of main shoot was measured with a ruler before harvest. Plants were harvested at physiological maturity, oven-dried at 72°C, and after that yield and yield components including grain yield and one hundred grain weight (g) were determined. Leaf area meter was measured by leaf area meter (Delta T Device, UK). LAD was measured using the following formula (Janusauskaite *et al.*, 2017):

$$LAD = \frac{L1 + L2}{2(T2 - T1)} \quad (1)$$

Where La and L2 are the first and second measurements of green leaf area, and T1 and T2 represent the time of the first and second measurements. The separated grain weighed, and harvest index (%) was calculated according to the

following formula:

$$HI (\%) = \frac{\text{Grain yield}}{\text{Biological}} \times 100 \quad (2)$$

The amount of nitrogen was calculated by Kjeldahl analysis from dry and ground samples (Bremner and Breitenbeck 1984), and then nitrogen was multiplied by 6.26 to determine protein content. After collection of data related to measured properties, variance analysis was done by SAS statistical software.

## RESULTS

The influence of year was not significant on any experimental characteristics except for LAD. Irrigation treatment has meaningful effects on plant height, number of tiller, LAI, LAD, one hundred grain weight, grain yield, biological yield, harvest index and Protein, but SPAD was not significantly affected by irrigation treatment. Among all experimental characteristics, just one hundred grain weight influenced by methanol concentration, while no significant influence of methanol concentrations on other experimental characteristics was found. The analysis of variance showed that the effect of interactions between irrigation × year, and also irrigation × methanol on LAD and grain yield was statistically significant. Aside from LAD and one hundred grain weight, no experimental characteristics influenced by interaction between methanol and year (Table 3). The maximum value for plant height, number of tillers and LAI was related to 2017, followed by 2016. Moreover, no significant differences were found between treatments. The maximum and the minimum LAD was achieved in 2017 and 2016, respectively; the meaningful difference was found between 2016 and 2017. Plantation on 2017 had obtained the highest grain yield (517.36 g/m<sup>2</sup>), biological yield (1813.39 g/m<sup>2</sup>) and Harvest index (0.29). No meaningful differences were found between 2016 and 2017. On the one side, the highest value for SPAD and Protein was obtained for 2016 (46.03), and 2017 (13.53%); on the other side, no significant differences were found between treatments (Table 4). The maximum plant height, number of tillers and LAI was obtained for irrigation on the basis of 100% of crop water requirement, followed by irrigation on the basis of 90% of crop water requirement. Irrigation on the

basis of 100% of crop water requirement had no meaningful differences with irrigation on the basis of 90% of crop water requirement. The maximum number of LAD, one hundred grain weight (6.03 g), grain yield, biological yield and harvest index (0.31%) was related to irrigation on the basis of 100% of crop water requirement. Although, the highest number of Harvest index was achieved in irrigation on the basis of 100% of crop water requirement followed by 90%, 80% and 70%, but no significant differences were found between treatments. The maximum value for SPAD was related to irrigation on the basis of 90% of crop water requirement (46.58); Moreover, it had just meaningful difference with irrigation on the basis of 70% of crop water requirement. The protein percentage of triticale differed between various irrigation treatments. The maximum and the minimum protein percentage was related to irrigation on the basis of 100% or crop water requirement (14.59%) and irrigation on the basis of 70% of crop water requirement (10.63%), which had no meaningful differences with each other (Table 5). Water shortage during reproductive stage of plants can lead to a decrease of photosynthesis rate and consequently reduction of number of tillers, leading to a lower number of seed and see weight and finally may lead into lower grain yield. In another word, the significant reduction of photosynthesis and production of assimilates arise

because of reduced water availability which indicated a decline in allocation of assimilates to see and subsequent decrease in seed weight. The maximum value of plant height, LAI and LAD was related to application of methanol 15%, which had no meaningful differences with other treatments. Although the maximum number of tillers was achieved in control treatment (0%), no significant differences were found between treatments. The maximum number of one hundred grain weight was related to application of methanol 15% (5.89 g), which had meaningful differences with other treatments. The maximum grain yield and biological yield was achieved in usage of control treatment (0% methanol), and methanol 15%; although, no meaningful differences were found between treatments. This shows that biomass yield, just as grain yield, is a multiplicative trait with different components trait contributing to it. One the one hand, the maximum harvest index was related to control treatment (0.30%); on the other hand, the minimum one was related to application of methanol 15% (0.27%), but no meaningful differences was shown between these two treatments. Application of methanol 30% and 15% had obtained the highest SPAD (45.70), and protein (13.78%). Like, former experimental characteristics, no meaningful differences were found between treatments (Table 6).

**Table 1.** Soil analysis of agriculture research field in Isfahan (0-30 cm).

Depth (cm)	EC (dS/m)	pH	CaCO <sub>3</sub>	Organic carbon (%)	Nitrogen (ppm)	Available P (ppm)	Available K (ppm)	Sand %	Silt %	Clay %	Soil texture
0-30	2.5	7.8	35	1.2	0.12	15.6	400	11	41	48	Clay
30-60	2.43	7.9	38	1.0	0.10	10.2	380	11	37	52	Clay

**Table 2.** Mean temperature changes from 23th October to 22th October at the Isfahan experimental field in 2016.

Month	Mean maximum temperature (°C)	Mean minimum temperature (°C)	Mean monthly temperature (°C)	The maximum temperature (°C)	The minimum temperature (°C)	Monthly rainfall (mm)
23th Oct-23th Nov	16.15	5.31	10.73	24.00	-3.18	11.70
23th Nov-23th Dec	10.62	-2.01	4.30	18.12	-8.23	5.80
23th Jan-23th Feb	9.76	-3.93	2.92	15.26	-8.67	1.00
23th Feb-23th Mar	9.72	0.97	5.35	16.64	-6.99	18.00
23th Mar-23th Apr	17.54	2.80	10.17	23.30	-3.42	35.50
23th Apr-23th May	17.86	5.26	11.56	23.00	-0.43	26.72
23th May-23th June	23.46	10.12	16.79	29.94	2.90	26.70
23th June-23th July	31.64	15.18	23.41	35.00	12.05	0.21
23th July-23th Aug	35.62	16.65	26.14	36.40	15.00	0

**Table 3.** Analysis of variance for experimental characteristics.

S.O.V	df	Plant height	No. Tiller	LAI	LAD	100 Grain weight	Grain Yield	Biological Yield	HI	SPAD	Protein
Year	1	3.125 <sup>ns</sup>	0.429 <sup>ns</sup>	0.0001 <sup>n</sup> <sub>s</sub>	0.046*	2.219 <sup>ns</sup>	10829.013 <sup>ns</sup>	92306.722 <sup>ns</sup>	0.0005 <sup>n</sup> <sub>s</sub>	170.786 <sup>ns</sup>	26.257 <sup>n</sup> <sub>s</sub>
Block*Year	4	387.541	0.783	0.501	0.004	0.620	17943.930	80801.611	0.007	107.450	25.995
a	3	2943.717*	16.129*	6.674**	0.216**	16.452*	297893.532*	1877382.167*	0.0118 <sup>n</sup> <sub>s</sub>	199.061 <sup>ns</sup>	57.082*
a* Year	3	116.236 <sup>ns</sup>	1.404 <sup>ns</sup>	0.742 <sup>ns</sup>	0.010*	0.777 <sup>ns</sup>	48642.088*	111051.426 <sup>ns</sup>	0.005 <sup>ns</sup>	108.967 <sup>ns</sup>	12.982 <sup>n</sup> <sub>s</sub>
block*a (Year)	12	917.449	0.772	0.552	0.002	4.037	9168.560	80196.852	0.005	53.606	5.829
b	2	1148.791 <sup>ns</sup>	0.211 <sup>ns</sup>	0.729 <sup>ns</sup>	0.001 <sup>ns</sup>	21.079*	14355.680 <sup>ns</sup>	198968.167 <sup>ns</sup>	0.006 <sup>ns</sup>	26.523 <sup>ns</sup>	24.344 <sup>n</sup> <sub>s</sub>
a*b	6	1303.606 <sup>ns</sup>	1.327 <sup>ns</sup>	0.376 <sup>ns</sup>	0.009*	0.434 <sup>ns</sup>	21913.421*	163750.500 <sup>ns</sup>	0.009 <sup>ns</sup>	48.530 <sup>ns</sup>	16.290 <sup>n</sup> <sub>s</sub>
b* Year	2	688.041 <sup>ns</sup>	0.334 <sup>ns</sup>	0.577 <sup>ns</sup>	0.020*	6.028*	4817.180 <sup>ns</sup>	318124.389 <sup>ns</sup>	0.004 <sup>ns</sup>	105.575 <sup>ns</sup>	0.482 <sup>ns</sup>
a*b* Year	6	513.930 <sup>ns</sup>	0.275 <sup>ns</sup>	0.843*	0.005 <sup>ns</sup>	1.470 <sup>ns</sup>	11428.810 <sup>ns</sup>	208549.537 <sup>ns</sup>	0.003 <sup>ns</sup>	98.210 <sup>ns</sup>	22.047 <sup>n</sup> <sub>s</sub>
Error	32	640.951	1.214	0.344	0.003	1.433	9007.153	132085.56	0.007	170.786	10.242

1 \* significant at 0.05 significance in F-tests, \*\*significant at 0.001 in F-tests, <sup>ns</sup> non-significant.

2 A= Irrigation on the basis of Crop Water Requirement, B= Methanol

**Table 4.** Mean comparison for experimental characteristics in different years.

Year	Plant height (cm)	Number of tillers	LAI	LAD	100 grain weight (g)	Grain yield (g/m <sup>2</sup> )	Biological yield (g/m <sup>2</sup> )	HI	SPAD	Protein (%)
2016	100.33a	4.41a	3.24a	0.45b	4.71a	492.83a	1741.78a	0.28a	46.03a	12.32a
2017	100.75a	4.57a	3.25a	0.50a	5.06a	517.36a	1813.39a	0.29a	42.95a	13.53a

Common letters within each column do not differ significantly.

**Table 5.** Mean comparison for experimental characteristics on the basis of crop water requirements.

Irrigation treatment	Plant height (cm)	Number of tillers	LAI	LAD	100 grain weight (g)	Grain yield (g/m <sup>2</sup> )	Biological yield (g/m <sup>2</sup> )	HI	SPAD	Protein (%)
%70	84.77b	3.10b	2.37c	0.31b	3.93b	327.61c	1346.1c	0.25a	39.55b	10.63b
%80	95.66ab	4.69a	3.29b	0.52a	4.28b	500.94b	1713.8b	0.30a	45.54ab	12.43a
%90	109.77a	5.07a	3.58ab	0.53a	5.29a	567.33a	2023.3a	0.28a	46.58a	14.04a
%100	112.00a	5.09a	3.72a	0.55a	6.03a	624.50a	2027.2a	0.31a	46.30ab	14.59a

Common letters within each column do not differ significantly.

**Table 6.** Mean comparison for experimental characteristics on the basis of different methanol concentrations.

Methanol concentrations (%)	Plant height (cm)	Number of tillers	LAI	LAD	100 grain weight (g)	Grain yield (g/m <sup>2</sup> )	Biological yield (g/m <sup>2</sup> )	HI	SPAD	Protein (%)
0	94.33a	4.58a	3.05a	0.47a	4.72b	531.25a	1782.3a	0.30a	43.79a	11.81a
15	108.00a	4.50a	3.39a	0.49a	5.89a	501.25a	1866.2a	0.27a	43.99a	13.78a
30	99.29a	4.39a	3.29a	0.47a	4.04b	482.79a	1684.3a	0.28a	45.70a	13.18a

Common letters within each column do not differ significantly.

## DISCUSSION

Water stress is the major limiting factor in crop production in the world. Yield is the principle selection index used under drought stress conditions. Like the results of this experiment, the influence of the weather conditions in different years on grain yield was confirmed by the studies on other cereals (Gonzalez *et al.* 2010; Peymaninia *et al.* 2017). The maximum value of plant height, number of tillers, LAI, LAD, one hundred grain weight, grain yield, biological yield, harvest index and protein content was achieved in irrigation on the basis of 100% crop water requirement. The result of this research is in agreement with Khaki-Moghadam and Rokhzadi (2015). Reduction in plant height under drought stress was reported by Guttieri *et al.* (2001) and Dencic *et al.* (2000). Leaf extension can be limited under water stress conditions in order to get a balance between the water status of plant tissues and the water absorbed by plant roots (Passioura, 1996). Reduced plant height as the result of water deficit, is a general response of plants to reduced water availability. Khaki-Moghadam and Rokhzadi (2015) also reported that water deficit and drought stress may delay development of plants, leading to plant height reduction. Guttieri *et al.* (2001) also observed that moisture deficit induced reduction in 100-grain yield wheat due to reduction in the number of grains per spike. Plant growth occurs by cell elongation and cell division, which are very sensitive to drought stress. Cell elongation is inhibited by a reduction in turgor pressure resulting from water deficiency. Water deficit also impairs cell division. Thereby, disruption of cell elongation and division can explain the observed reduction in plant height and growth. Ahmadi and Joudi (2007), Krcek *et al.* (2008) and Bijanzadeh and Emam (2017) also reported that grain yield is reduced depending on the degree of water deficit. The improvement of HI leads to more efficient redistribution of dry matter into grain and in turn increases grain yield (Madic *et al.* 2005; Moradi *et al.* 2010; Shahrajabian *et al.* 2017). HI can be used an indirect selection criterion for improving grain yield in cereals under moisture stress conditions (Fayaz and Arzani 2011; Shahrajabian *et al.* 2011). In agreement with results of this experiment, Ahmed (2011) and

Khaki-Moghadam and Rokhzadi (2015) observed that the SPAD chlorophyll index was lower in their experiments under water deficit stress. The highest plant height, number of tillers, LAI, LAD, one hundred grain weight, grain yield, biological yield, harvest index and protein was obtained in 2017. Foliar methanol application with 15% concentration had obtained the highest plant height, LAI, LAD, one hundred grain weight, biological yield, SPAD and protein percentage. Leaf area index (LAI) is a good indicator of crop state and is closely linked to other crop and soil variables such as biomass, grain yield, nutrition status and crop nitrogen uptake (Janusauskaite *et al.* 2017). Significant reaction of safflower to methanol concentration in limited irrigation management indicated that the deleterious effects of drought stress can be alleviated by methanol application, to increase CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. Nakano *et al.* (2010) ascertained that grain yield of wheat was significantly and positively correlated with the LAI and SPAD. In this experiment triticale positively respond to methanol concentration in limited irrigation management. As a consequence, treating triticale with methanol can promote net photosynthesis leading to improved yield (Nonomura and Benson 1992; Khaki-Moghadam and Rokhzadi 2015). Percival *et al.* (2008) and Ling *et al.* (2011) mentioned that leaf chlorophyll content is an important indicator of physiological status in plant, and the variation in leaf chlorophyll content is considered to be a plant response to environmental stress. Furthermore, Nonmura and Benson (1992) and Rowe *et al.* (1994), Hernandez *et al.* (2000) and Zbiec *et al.* (2003) reported that foliar spraying of methanol increased growth and yield of various C<sub>3</sub> plants. The yield increased caused by the applied measures can be explained by a better management of irrigation of the plants, which found an important solution in enhanced tillering, grain yield and one hundred grain weight. Gowda *et al.* (2011) also have recently reported that grain yield, plant height, spikes per square meter and thousand-kernel weight are key variables that allowed the prediction of early biomass yield. The results of this experiment suggest that methanol can aid in alleviating the effects of drought stress on triticale in climatic condition of Isfahan. It is concluded that triticale cultivars

performed superior in 2017, with 15% concentration of methanol application and irrigation on the basis of 100% crop water requirement.

## CONCLUSION

Water stress is the major limiting factor in crop production in the world. Yield is the principle selection index used under drought stress conditions. The highest value of plant height, number of tillers, LAI, LAD, one hundred grain weight, grain yield, biological yield, harvest index and protein content was achieved in irrigation on the basis of 100% crop water requirement. Plant growth occurs by cell elongation and cell division, which are very sensitive to drought stress. Cell elongation is inhibited by a reduction in turgor pressure resulting from water deficiency. Water deficit also impairs cell division. Thereby, disruption of cell elongation and division can explain the observed reduction in plant height and growth. The highest plant height, number of tillers, LAI, LAD, one hundred grain weight, grain yield, biological yield, harvest index and protein was obtained in 2017. Foliar methanol application with 15% concentration had obtained the highest plant height, LAI, LAD, one hundred grain weight, biological yield, SPAD and protein percentage. Significant reaction of safflower to methanol concentration in limited irrigation management indicated that the deleterious effects of drought stress can be alleviated by methanol application, to increase CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. The yield increased caused by the applied measures can be explained by a better management of irrigation of the plants, which found an important solution in enhanced tillering, grain yield and one hundred grain weight. The results of this experiment suggest that methanol can aid in alleviating the effects of drought stress on triticale in climatic condition of Isfahan. It is concluded that triticale cultivars performed superior in 2017, with 15% concentration of methanol application and irrigation on the basis of 100% crop water requirement.

## CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

All authors have declared that they do not have any conflict of interest for publishing this research.

## REFERENCES

- Ahmadi, A., & Joudi, M. (2007). Effects of timing and defoliation intensity on growth, yield, and gas exchange rate of wheat grown under well-watered and drought conditions. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Science*, 10, 379-380.
- Ahmed, M. A., & Abdel-Hady, M. S. (2006). Partition of photosynthetic for eleven promising Triticale lines. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*, 2(11), 1022-1031.
- Ahmed, S. U. (2011). Effects of soil water deficit on leaf nitrogen, chlorophylls and SPAD chlorophyll meter reading on growth stages of soybean. *Bangladesh Journal of Botany*, 40, 171-175.
- Bijanazadeh, E., & Emam, Y. (2017). Evaluation of defoliation on leaf water relations, chlorophyll content, and grain yield of triticale (*X Triticosecale Wittmack*) genotypes under water stress. *Iran Agricultural Research*, 36(1), 33-40.
- Bremner, J. M., & Breitenbeck, G. A. (1983). A simple method for determination of ammonium in semimicro-Kjeldahl analysis of soils and plant materials using a block digester. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal*, 14, 905-913.
- Dencic, S., Kastori, R., Kobiljski, B., & Duggan, B. (2000). Evaluation of grain yield and its components in wheat cultivars and landraces under near optimal and drought conditions. *Euphytica*, 113, 43-52.
- Fall, R., & Benson, A. A. (1996). Leaf methanol- the simplest natural product from plants. *Trends Plant Sci*, 1, 296-301.
- Farooq, M., Wahid, A., Kobayashi, N., Fujita, D., & Basra, S. M. A. (2009). Plant drought stress: Effects, mechanisms and management. *Agron. Sustain. Dev*, 29, 185-212.
- Fayaz, N., & Arzani, A. (2011). Moisture stress tolerance in reproductive growth stages in triticale (*X Triticosecale Wittmack*) cultivars under field conditions. *Crop Breeding Journal*, 1(1), 1-12.
- Giunta, F., Motzo, R., Viridis, A., & Cabiglieri, A. (2017). The effects of forage removal on biomass and grain yield of intermediate and spring Triticale. *Field Crops Research*, 200, 47-57.

- Gonzalez, A., Bermejo, V., & Gimeno, B. S. (2010). Effect of different physiological traits on grain yield in barley grown under irrigated and terminal water deficit conditions. *J Agr Sci*, *148*, 139-328.
- Gowda, M., Hahn, V., Reif, J. C., Longin, C. F. H., Alheit, K., & Maurer, H. P. (2011). Potential for simultaneous improvement of grain and biomass yield in Central European winter triticale germplasm. *Field Crops Research*, *121*, 153-157.
- Guttieri, M. J., Stark, J. C., Brien, K. O., & Souza, E. (2001). Relative sensitivity of spring wheat grain yield and quality parameters to moisture deficit. *Crop Science*, *41*, 327-335.
- Heidari, B., Latifi, M., Dadkhodaie, A., & Shariatpour, N. (2016). Selection of salt-tolerant triticale (*X Triticosecale Wittmack*) and genetic variation assay for agronomic and physiological traits. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*, *12*(1), 1-13.
- Hernandez, L. F., Pellegrini, C. N., & Malla, L. M. (2000). Effect of foliar application of methanol on growth and yield of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus L.*). *Phyton int. J. Exp. Bot*, *66*, 1-8.
- Janusauskaite, D., & Auskalniene, D. (2014). Photosynthetic traits of spring barley throughout development stages under field conditions. *Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal*, *45*, 284-296.
- Janusauskaite, D., Feiziene, D., & Feiza, V. (2017). Relationship between spring triticale physiological traits and productivity changes as affected by different N rates. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section B- Soil & Plant Science*, *67*(6), 534-541.
- Kamyab, M., Shahsevevand Hassani, H., & Tohidinejad, E. (2009). Agronomic behavior of new cereal (*Tritipyrum: AABBE<sup>b</sup>E<sup>b</sup>*) compared with modern Triticale and Iranian bread wheat cultivars. *Plant Ecophysiology*, *2*, 69-80.
- Kendal, E. (2015). Relationship between chlorophyll and other features in durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum L. var. durum*) using SPAD and biplot analyses. *J Agric Sci Tech*, *17*, 1873-1886.
- Khaki-Moghadam, A., & Rokhzadi, A. (2015). Growth and yield parameters of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius*) as influenced by foliar methanol application under well-watered and water deficit conditions. *Environmental and Experimental Biology*, *13*, 93-97.
- Krcek, M., Slamka, P., Olsovska, K., Brestic, M., & Bencikova, M. (2008). Reduction of drought stress effect in spring barley (*Hordeum vulgare L.*) by nitrogen fertilization. *Plant Soil Environ*, *54*, 7-13.
- Lelley, T. (2006). Triticale: A low-input cereal with untapped potential. In Singh, R. J., & Jauhar, P. P. (Eds.) Genetic resources chromosome engineering and crop improvement. (pp. 395-430). Boca Raton, CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, FL.
- Ling, Q., Huang, W., & Jarvis, P. (2011). Use of a SPAD-502 meter to measure leaf chlorophyll concentration in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Photosynth. Res*, *107*, 209-214.
- Madic, M., Paunoric, A., Djurovic, D., & Knezevic, D. (2005). Correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield and yield components in winter barley. *Acta Agric. Serbica*, *20*, 3-9.
- McKenzie, R. H., Bremer, E., Middleton, A. B., Beres, B., Yoder, C., Hietamaa, C., Pfiffner, P., Kereliuk, G., Pauly, D., & Henriquez, B. (2014). Agronomic practices for bioethanol production from spring triticale in Alberta. *Can J Plant Sci*, *94*, 15-22.
- Moharrery, A., Asadi, E., & Rezaei, R. (2015). Performance characteristics and nutritional comparison of broiler chickens Fed with barley and triticale based diets. *Iranian Journal of Applied Animal Science*, *5*(2), 369-376.
- Moradi, K., Shangari, A. H., Shahrajabian, M. H., Gharineh, M. H., & Madandost, M. (2010). Isabgol (*Plantago ovata Forsk.*) response to irrigation intervals and different nitrogen levels. *Iranian Journal of medicinal and Aromatic Plants*, *26*(2), 196-204.
- Nakano, H., Morita, S., Kusuda, O., & Sasaki, Y. (2010). Leaf blade dry weight and leaf area index × SPAD value at anthesis can be used to estimate nitrogen application rate at anthesis required to obtain target protein content of grain in bread wheat. *Plant Prod Sci*, *13*, 297-306.



- Nonomura AM, Benson AA. 1992. The path of carbon in photosynthesis: Improved crop yield with methanol. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 80, 9794-9798.
- Passioura, J. B. (1996). Drought and drought tolerance. In: Belhassen, E. (ed.) *Drought Tolerance in Higher Plants: Genetical, Physiological and Molecular Biological Analysis*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht Pp: 3-12.
- Percival, G. C., Keary, I. P., & Noviss, K. (2008). The potential of a chlorophyll content SPAD meter to quantify nutrient stress in foliar tissue of sycamore (*Acer pseudoplatanus*), English oak (*Quercus robur*), and European beech (*Fagus sylvatica*). *Arboricult. Urban For.*, 34, 89-100.
- Peymaninia, Y., Valizadeh, M., Shahryari, R., Ahmadiadeh, M., & Habibpour, M. (2012). Relationship among morpho-physiological traits in bread wheat against drought stress at presence of a Leonardite derived humic fertilizer under greenhouse condition. *Int Res J Appl Basic Sci*, 3, 822-830.
- Pfeiffer, W. H. (2003). Triticale improvement strategies at CIMMYT: Exploiting adaptive patterns and end-use orientation. *Triticale Topics*, 21, 18-27.
- Pronyk, C., & Mazza, G. (2011). Optimization of processing conditions for the fractionation of triticale straw using pressurized low polarity water. *Bioresour Technol*, 102, 2016-2025.
- Roques, S. E., Kindred, D. R., & Clarke, S. (2016). Triticale out-performs wheat on range of UK soils with a similar nitrogen requirement. *J Agr Sci*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0021859616000356>.
- Rowe, R. N., Farr, D. J., & Richards, B. A. J. (1994). Effects of foliar and root applications of methanol or ethanol on the growth of tomato plants (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill). *New Zealand J. Crop Hort. Sci*, 22, 335-337.
- Saadpanah, A., Rokhzadi, A., & Mohammadi, K. (2013). Growth response of soybean to the application of Bradyrhizobium japonicum and foliar methanol spraying in field conditions. *Int. J. Bio Sci*, 3, 128-134.
- Shahrajabian, M. H., Soleymani, A., & Naranjani, L. (2011). Grain yield and forage characteristics of forage sorghum under different plant densities and nitrogen levels in second cropping after barley in Isfahan, Iran. *Research on Crops*, 12(1), 68-78.
- Shahrajabian, M. H., Xue, X., Soleymani, A., Ogbaji, P. O., & Hu, Y. (2013). Evaluation of physiological indices of winter wheat under different irrigation treatments using weighing lysimeter. *Intl. J. Farm. Alli. Sci*, 2(24), 1192-1197.
- Shahrajabian, M. H., Soleymani, A., Ogbaji, P. O., & Xue, X. (2017). Survey on qualitative and quantitative traits of winter wheat under different irrigation treatments using weighing lysimeter in North China Plain. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*, 15(4), 1-11.
- Shahrajabian, M. H., Sun, W., & Cheng, Q. (2020a). Chemical components and pharmacological benefits of Basil (*Ocimum Basilicum*): a review. *International Journal of Food Properties*, 23(1), 1961-1970.
- Shahrajabian, M. H., Sun, W., & Cheng, Q. (2020b). Traditional herbal medicine for the prevention and treatment of cold and flu in the autumn of 2020, overlapped with COVID-19. *Natural Product Communications*, 15(8), 1-10.
- Shahrajabian, M. H., Sun, W., & Cheng, Q. (2020c). Chinese onion (*Allium Chinense*), an evergreen vegetable: A brief review. *Polish Journal of Agronomy*, 42, 40-45.
- Shahrajabian, M. H., Sun, W., & Cheng, Q. (2020d). Chinese star anise (*Illicium verum*) and pyrethrum (*Chrysanthemum cinerariifolium*) as natural alternative for organic farming and health care- A review. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, 14(03), 517-523.
- Soleymani, A., Shahrajabian, M. H., Hosseini Far, S. H., & Naranjani, L. (2011). Morphological traits, yield and yield components of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) cultivars under drought stress condition in Kerman province. *Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment*, 9(3&4), 249-251.

- Soleymani, A., & Shahrajabian, M. H. (2012). The influence of disruption of irrigation on yield and yield components of rapeseed cultivars in Esfahan. *Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment*, 10(1), 594-595.
- Soleymani, A., & Shahrajabian, M. H. (2013). Evaluation drought tolerance indices on the basis of physiological characteristics for different genotypes of barley in Esfahan region. *International Journal of Farming and Allied Science*, 2(16), 533-536.
- Soleymani, A., & Shahrajabian, M. H. (2017). Assessment of ET-HS model for estimating crop water demand and its effects on yield and yield components of barley and wheat in semi-arid region of Iran. *Cercetari Agronomice in Moldova*, 4(172), 37-49.
- Sun, W., Shahrajabian, M. H., & Cheng, Q. (2019a). Therapeutic roles of goji berry and ginseng in traditional Chinese. *Journal of Nutrition and Food Security*, 4(4), 293-305.
- Sun, W., Shahrajabian, M. H., & Cheng, Q. (2019b). Anise (*Pimpinella anisum* L.), a dominant spice and traditional medicinal herb for both food and medicinal purposes. *Cogent Biology*, 5(1673688), 1-25.
- Sun, W., Shahrajabian, M. H., & Cheng, Q. (2021a). Fenugreek cultivation with emphasis on historical aspects and its uses in traditional medicine and modern pharmaceutical science. *Mini Reviews in Medicinal Chemistry*. 21(6): 724-730.
- Sun, W., Shahrajabian, M. H., & Cheng, Q. (2021b). *Schisandra chinensis*, five flavor berry, a traditional Chinese medicine and a super-fruit from North Eastern China. *Pharmacognosy Communications*. 11(1): 13-21.
- Sun, W., Shahrajabian, M. H., Shen, H., & Cheng, Q. (2021c). Lychee (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.), the king of fruits with both traditional and modern pharmaceutical health benefits. *Pharmacognosy Communications*. 11(1): 22-25.
- Sun, W., Shahrajabian, M. H., & Cheng, Q. (2021d). Barberry (*Berberis vulgaris*), a medicinal fruit and food with traditional and modern pharmaceutical uses. *Israel Journal of Plant Sciences*. 68(1-2): 1-11.
- Sun, W., Shahrajabian, M. H., & Cheng, Q. (2021e). Health benefits of wolfberry (Gou Qi Zi) on the basis of ancient Chinese herbalism and western modern medicine. *Avicenna Journal of Phytomedicine*. 11(2): 109-119.
- Zbiec, I., Karczmarczyk, S., & Podsiadlo, C. (2003). Response of some cultivated plants to methanol as compared to supplemental irrigation. *Electr. J. Polish Agric., Univ.* 6: #1.