ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Morphological and molecular variation of five rice varieties to Ultra Violet-B radiation stress

A. John De Britto *, R. Mary Sujin and Steena Roshan Sebastian

Plant Molecular Biology Research Unit, St.Xavier's College, (Autonomous), Palayamkottai. Tamilnadu, India.

*Email: **bjohnde@yahoo.co.in**

Received April 30, 2011

India is one of the major rice producing countries. Rice cultivation is affected by several factors such as climate, soil pollution, UV radiation etc. The present study is an attempt made to understand the morphological variation and molecular variation through SDS-PAGE in five different rice varieties induced with UV-B stress.

Five varieties of rice were irradiated with UV-B for 10, 20 and 30 min and a set was maintained as control for every variety. Morphological variations were estimated using morphometric analysis that showed significant variation in stressed and controlled sets. The leaf protein was separated through SDS-PAGE gel electrophoresis and molecular weight markers were used to calculate the molecular weight of the proteins.

UV treated varieties had the lowest record based on the banding pattern than the control. These results show that the UV radiation could induce both phenotypic and genotypic changes in rice.

Key words: Morphological parameters; Molecular variation; Oryza sativa L.; SDS-PAGE; UV stress.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Morphological and molecular variation of five rice varieties to Ultra Violet-B radiation stress

A. John De Britto *, R. Mary Sujin and Steena Roshan Sebastian

Plant Molecular Biology Research Unit, St.Xavier's College, (Autonomous), Palayamkottai. Tamilnadu, India.

*Email: bjohnde@yahoo.co.in

Received April 30, 2011

India is one of the major rice producing countries. Rice cultivation is affected by several factors such as climate, soil pollution, UV radiation etc. The present study is an attempt made to understand the morphological variation and molecular variation through SDS-PAGE in five different rice varieties induced with UV-B stress.

Five varieties of rice were irradiated with UV-B for 10, 20 and 30 min and a set was maintained as control for every variety. Morphological variations were estimated using morphometric analysis that showed significant variation in stressed and controlled sets. The leaf protein was separated through SDS-PAGE gel electrophoresis and molecular weight markers were used to calculate the molecular weight of the proteins.

UV treated varieties had the lowest record based on the banding pattern than the control. These results show that the UV radiation could induce both phenotypic and genotypic changes in rice.

Key words: Morphological parameters; Molecular variation; Oryza sativa L.; SDS-PAGE; UV stress.

The solar energy from sun is essential to support the life on our planet. However, the sunlight also contains a small amount of short wavelength ultraviolet (UV) light irradiation, which is harmful to the life on the planet. Fortunately most of this harmful UV irradiation is filtered out by the stratospheric ozone layer, which strongly absorbs UV light, but this protective shield is being continually damaged by human activity (Longstreth et al., 1995). UV-B exposure to living organism has several harmful effect, among which its capacity to induce the genetic mutations is the foremost (Bais et al., 1996). In brief the increased irradiation of UV-B would endanger all forms of life and have devastating effect by reducing the yield of crops (Kerr, 1988). UV-B can potentially interfere with growth, development, photosynthesis, flowering, pollination, and changes the ability of crop plants (Jansen et al., 2001; Kliebenstein et al., 2002). Rice is one of the world's most important food crop and grown mostly in tropical and subtropical countries. The demand for rice is increasing tremendously as the population in rice consuming areas is increasing at an alarming rate. However, increasing rice production is becoming more difficult because of biotic and abiotic stresses (Hert, 1991).

In the present study, analysis of morphology and protein variability of the five rice varieties induced with UV-B (280–320 nm) stress through morphometric analysis and SDS-PAGE has been carried out.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

The seeds of rice varieties were procured from seed testing centre, Palayamkottai. Five popular, medium duration varieties namely IR 32, IR 36, IR 45, IR 46 and IR 50 were selected for the present study.

Methodology

A pot culture experiment was conducted in Plant Molecular Biology Research Unit, Tirunelveli during 2010. The seeds were surface sterilized and soaked overnight in double distilled water (ddw) and then kept in dark for 24 hr for germination. After soaking, the seeds were sown in pots (paper cup), which were watered adequately. After 15 days the samples were exposed to UV-B for 10, 20, 30 min and a control was maintained for all the varieties.

Morphometric analysis

After 30th day, the shoot length, root length and leaf area was measured using a metric scale. Its fresh and dry weights were also measured.

Protein profiling

The separation of leaf protein was carried out at -50°C at 100V thereafter for 3-5 hours. SDS-PAGE

electrophoresis preparation was followed by (Laemmli, 1970).

Data analysis:

The obtained morphometric data was tabulated and correlated using SPSS software and one way ANOVA was done using Origin Pro 7.5 software. The molecular weight of the unknown proteins and their Rf values were calculated using TL100 software.

RESULTS

The effect of UV-B on morphological and molecular parameters was studied in five rice varieties.

Morphometric analysis

In the present study the significant change in growth and morphology of rice varieties were analysed. When the seedlings were exposed to UV-B radiation for 10, 20 & 30 min, there was reduction in the shoot, root elongation, expansion of cotyledonary leaves, fresh and dry weights, which was measured after 30 days of seedling growth (Table 1). Seedlings exposed for 10 min showed high percentage tolerance when compared to 30 min exposed seedlings. Among the five varieties the IR 46 variety showed a maximum shoot length of 10 cm, whereas the IR 32 variety showed a maximum root length of 5 cm, leaf area of 0.94 cm², fresh weight of 1.528 g and the IR 50 variety showed maximum dry weight of 0.473 g, in seedlings exposed to UV for 30 min.

The pearson's correlation coefficient data of stressed and control set revealed that shoot length of IR 32 and IR 45 at 20 min was highly significant, The root length of IR 50 at 20, 30 min was highly significant, whereas IR 45 and IR 50 at 10 min was less significant, Leaf area of IR 32 at 10, 20 and 30 min; IR 45 at 10 and 20 min were highly significant, whereas IR 36 and IR 46 at 10 min were less significant, fresh weight of IR 32 at 10, 20, 30 min; IR 46 and IR 50 at 30 min were highly significant, Dry weight of IR 32 at 10 and 30 min; IR 45 and IR 46 at 10 min were less significant (Table 2).

Protein profiling

The UV- B exposed seedlings of rice exhibited substantial changes in protein levels. The protein pattern was studied in 30 days old UV-B stressed rice seedlings at different time intervals of 10, 20 and 30 min. Protein pattern differed under both control and stressed condition. SDS denatured protein gels could resolve a total of 42 bands in 10 min, 39 bands in 20 min and 37 bands in 30 min of UV-B irradiated seedlings. More number of protein bands was observed in seedlings treated with UV-B

for 10 minutes. The total number of bands was more in control than the seedlings treated with UV-B. These SDS protein bands belong to different molecular weights ranging from 3.62 KDa to 153.5 KDa. The molecular weights ranged from 76.98 to 3.62 KDa, 153.5 to 3.74 KDa and 77.75 to 3.78 KDa for seedlings treated with 10, 20 and 30 min of UV-B stress respectively.

Using Total Lab 100 protein analyzer software the molecular weights and the Rf values of each band was calculated. The least molecular weight protein with 3.62 KDa was present in IR 45 stressed plant (10 min UV treatment) with highest Rf value (0.91) and highest molecular weight protein with 153.5 KDa was found in IR 32 (20 min UV treatment) with lowest Rf value (0.19).

Sample	Treatment	Shoot	Root	Leaf area	Fresh weight (g)	Dry
_	of UV (mts)	length (cm)	length	(cm^2)		Weight (g)
			(cm)			
IR 32	Control	14±0.38	5.5±0.41	2.4±2.44	1.410±0.007	0.635±0.01
	10	11±0.38	5.0±0.79	0.82±2.22	1.512±0.007	0.672 ± 0.008
	20	10.5±0.41	4.8±0.32	0.43±2.04	1.420±0.007	0.432±0.010
	30	9±0.30	5.0±0.66	0.94±2.35	1.528±0.007	0.410 ± 0.410
IR 36	Control	12±3.4	5.3±0.38	2.45±0.07	1.431±0.013	0.640±0.015
	10	9±1.5	4.9±0.88	1.2±0.31	1.448±0.006	0.528±0.006
	20	8±1.5	4.7±0.41	0.39±0.07	1.397±0.005	0.443±0.004
	30	7±1.5	4.9±0.69	0.36±0.04	1.448±0.007	0.378±0.006
IR 45	Control	15±2.54	5.1±0.57	1±0.38	1.528±0.006	0.618±0.004
	10	9±1.58	5.1±0.47	0.86±0.03	1.510±0.007	0.580±0.007
	20	10±2.54	4.9±0.31	0.45±0.04	1.423±0.006	0.473±0.002
	30	8±2.54	4.7±0.58	0.82±0.05	1.391±0.004	0.419±0.084
IR 46	Control	17±2.9	4.9±0.57	0.96±0.35	1.632±0.004	0.712±0.005
	10	9±1.5	5.0±0.79	1.2±0.51	1.574±0.003	0.489±0.006
	20	8.5±0.7	4.9±0.47	0.42±0.05	1.549±0.007	0.458±0.006
	30	10±2.5	4.8±0.66	0.41±0.065	1.517±0.005	0.315±0.007
IR 50	Control	14±2.54	5.3±0.25	0.9±0.31	1.502±0.001	0.643±0.003
	10	10.5±0.79	4.9±0.69	0.8±0.25	1.4309±0.006	0.328±0.006
	20	10±2.54	4.8±0.54	0.41±0.07	1.397±0.003	0.499±0.003
	30	9±1.58	4.7±0.53	0.4±0.15	1.358±0.006	0.473±0.006

Table 1. Effect of UV on shoot length, Leaf length, Leaf width, Root length, Fresh and Dry weight ofthe 30 days old ten rice varieties

83

U	onuoi					
Sample	Treatment of	Shoot	Root length	Leaf area	Fresh weight	Dry
-	UV (mts)	length (cm)	(cm)	(cm^2)	(g)	Weight (g)
IR 32	10	1.000**	0.614	1.000**	1.000**	-910*
	20	0.589	0.500	1.000**	1.000**	.658
	30	-0.850	0.591	1.000**	1.000**	.919*
IR 36	10	0.996**	-0.469	0.900*	0.021	0.105
	20	0.543	-0.159	0.178	0.502	-0.380
	30	-272	-0.773	0.200	0.721	-0.105
IR 45	10	0.868*	-0.823*	0.966**	-0.262	0.0926*
	20	1.000**	0.274	0.701	0.164	-0.453
	30	0.385	-0.171	0.995**	-0.619	-0.497
IR 46	10	0.533	0.666	0.852*	0.281	0.839*
	20	-0.533	0.166	-0.069	0.276	0.209
	30	0.463	0.250	-0.803	0.974**	-0.799
IR 50	10	-0.620	0.845*	0.124	0.500	0.073
	20	0.538	0.978**	0.200	0.0997**	-0.025
	30	0.124	0.983**	-0.300	0.733	-0.690

 Table 2. Pearson Correlation coefficient between different treatment of rice varieties compared with control

P<0.05**- highly significant, P<0.01*- less significant



Figure 1. SDS-PAGE gel revealing stress proteins (30 mts UV-treatment) on 30 days old rice varieties. 1- IR 32; 2- IR 36; 3-IR 45; 4- IR 46; 5- IR 50; M- marker.

DISCUSSION

Morphometric analysis

Prasad (1995) reasoned that the decreased growth may be due to the reduced mitotic division of the meristematic zone and also due to the reduction in both new cell formation and cell elongation in the extension region of the root. UV-B radiation causes a variety of morphological responses in higher plants, such as reduction in plant height, fresh mass, shortening of internodes, secretion of wax and leaf thickening. Besides this, UV-B radiation affects many physiological and biochemical parameters like CO₂ uptake, RUBPC activity, photosynthetic electron transport chain, dark respiration, stomatal behavior, pigment content and endogenous level of phytohormones (Nedunchezhian and Kulandaivelu, 1991).

Similar work was done by Olsson et al., 1999 in *Brassica napus* L. to investigate the effect of UV-B radiation on photosynthesis in atrazine tolerant and sensititve cultivars. Predicted increase in UV-B radiation may have adverse impacts on growth and yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.), as has been found in a study done by Kim et al. (2006). Extensive studies of the physiological, biochemical and morphological effects of UV-B in rice plants, as well as the mechanisms of UV-B resistance have been carried out by Hidema and Kumagai, 2006. Finckh et al., 1999 studied the effect of enhanced UV-B radiation on the growth of the rice and observed the reduction of leaf area and dry weight.

Protein profiling

Kosakivska et al. (2008) observed distinctions in protein synthesis patterns which suggest that stress response proteins could be used as biomarkers. Casati et al. (2005) explained the synthesis of additional protein in response to UV stress. As explained by Yost and Lindquist (1988) the commonality of the proteins repressed or induced in response to UV-B stress indicates that the proteins have an important role in the maintenance of vital cellular function, they could be abnormal proteins synthesized in response to stress. UV damaged specific binding proteins are considered to play an important role in early responses of cell irradiated with UV. UV-B as was found to cause a decline in total RNA, enzyme activity and proteins levels of several key photosynthesis proteins including RUBISCO (Jordan et al., 1992).

Similar work was done in UV-B exposed seedlings of *Oryza sativa* L. var. ADT(R) – 45, that exhibited substantial changes in protein levels, which was manifested as tolerant, sensitive and induced proteins (Dooslin et al., 2010). Nedunchezhian et al. (2008) reported at the effect of UV-B enhanced protein synthesis in *Vigna Sinensis* L., which could have possible protective role against UV radiation.

CONCLUSION

From the present study it may be concluded that rice seedlings treated with UV-B radiation at different duration reduced the growth and may lead to the reduced productivity. Moreover there were changes in the occurrence of protein bands indicating changes at genotypic level. Some new protein bands appeared in the stressed plants when compared to the control, these proteins may be used as molecular markers synthesized in response to UV-B stress and this may also have a protective role against the stress.

REFERENCES

- Bais A.F., Zerefos C.S. and McElroy C.T. (1996). Solar UVB Measurements with the Doubleand Single-monochromator Brewer Ozone Spectrophotometers. *Geophy. Res. Lett.*, 23(8), 833-836.
- Casati P., Zhang X., Burlingame A.L. and Walbot V. (2005). Analysis of leaf proteome after UV-B irradiation in maize lines differing in sensitivity. *Mol. &Cellular Proteo.*, **4**, 1673-1685.
- Dooslin M.D., Johnson M. and Gerardin J. (2010). UV-B Response of *Oryza sativa* L. var.

ADT(R) 45 Seedlings. *Int. J. Biotech. Biochem.*, **6**(3), 411-418.

- Finckh M.R., Chavez A.Q., Dai Q., Teng P.S. (1999). Effects of enhanced UV-B radiation on the growth of rice and its susceptibility to rice blast under glasshouse conditions. *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment.*, **52**(2-3), 223-233.
- Hert R.W. (1991). Research priorities for rice biotechnology. In: G.S. Khush and G.H. Toenniessen (ed.), *Rice Biotechnology*, CAB International, UK, pp.19-54.
- Hidema J. and Kumagai T. (2006). Sensitivity of Rice to Ultraviolet-B Radiation. *Ann. Bot.*, **97**, 933–942.
- Jansen M.A.K., Van Den Noort R.E., Tan M.Y.A., Prinsen E., Lagrimini L.M. and Thorneley R.N.F. (2001). Phenol-oxidizing peroxidases contribute to the protection of plants from ultraviolet radiation stress. *Plant Physiol.*, **126**, 1012-1023.
- Jordan B.R., He J., Chow W.S. and Anderson J.M. (1992). Changes in mRNA levels and polypeptide subunits of ribulose 1, 5bisphophate carboxylase in response to supplementary ultraviolet-B radiation. *Plant Cell Environ.*, **15**, 91–98.
- Kerr R.A. (1988). Stratospheric ozone is decreasing. Science., 239, 1489-1491.
- Kim H.Y., Kobayashi K., Nouchi I. and Yoneyama T. (2006). Enhanced UV-B radiation has little effect on growth, δ^{13} C values and pigments of pot-grown rice (*Oryza sativa*) in the field. *Physiologia Plantarum.*, **96**(1), 1-5.
- Kliebenstein D.J., Lim J.E., Landry L.G. and Last R.L. (2002). Arabidopsis UVR8 regulates Ultraviolet-B signal transduction and tolerance and contains sequence similarity to Human Regulator of Chromatin Condensation. Plant Physiol., 130(1), 234-243

- Kosakivska I., Klymchuk D., Negretzky V., Bluma D. and Ustinova A. (2008). Stress proteins and ultrastructural characteristics of leaf cells of plants with different types of ecological strategies. *Gen. Appl. Plant Physiol.*, **34**(3-4), 405-418.
- Laemmli U.K. (1970). Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of head of bacteriophage T4. *Nature.*, **227**, 680-85.
- Longstreth J.D., De Gruijl F.R., Kripke M.L., Takizawa Y. and Van der Leun J.C. (1995). Effects of increased solar ultraviolet radiation on human health. *Ambio.*, **24**, 153-165.
- Nedunchezhian N. and Kulandaivelu G. (1991). Evidence for the ultraviolet – B radiation induced structural reorganization and damage of photosystem II polypeptides in isolated chloroplast. *Physiol. Plant.*, **81**, 558 – 562.
- Nedunchezhian N., Amamalainathan K., Kulandaivelu G. (2008). Induction of heat shock-like proteins in *Vigna sinensis* seedlings growing ultraviolet-B (280-320 nm) enhanced radiation. *Physiol. Plant.*, **85**(3), 503-506.
- Olsson L.C., Fraysse L. and Bornman J.F. (1999). Influence of high light and UV-B radiation on photosynthesis and D1 turnover in atrazinetolerant and sensitive cultivars of *Brassica napus. J. Experi. Bot.*, **51**(343), 265-274.
- Prasad M.N.V. (1995). Cadmium toxicity and tolerance in vascular plants. *Environ and Exp Bot.*, 35, 525-45.
- Yost H.J. and Lindquist S.L. (1988). Translation of unspliced transcripts after heat shock. *Science.*, 242, 1544-1548.