# **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

# The role of amino acids in improvement in salt tolerance of crop plants

Abd El-Samad<sup>1</sup>, H. M., M. A. K. Shaddad<sup>2</sup> and N. Barakat<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Botany Department, Faculty of Science, El-Minia University, El-Minia, Egypt <sup>2</sup>Botany Department, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt

E. mail hmdia10@yahoo.com

### Received May 5, 2010

The present work has been performed to study the growth and metabolic activities of maize and broad bean plants which are shown to have a degree of sensitivity to salinity and to determine the role of amino acids proline or phenylalanine in increasing the salt tolerance of theses plants. Dry mass, water content, leaf area and photosynthetic pigment of maize and broad bean plants decreased with increasing salinity. These changes were accompanied with a drop in the contents of soluble sugars, soluble proteins and amino acids. This was accompanied by a marked increase in the proline content. When maize and broad bean plants sprayed with proline or phenylalanine the opposite effect was occurred, saccharides as well as proteins progressively increased at all sanitization levels and proline concentration significantly declined. Salinity significantly increased the sodium content in both shoots and roots of maize and broad bean plants, while a decline in the accumulation of K<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>++</sup>, Mg<sup>++</sup> and P was observed. Amino acids treatments markedly altered the selectivity of Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>++</sup> and P in both maize and broad bean plants. Spraying with any of either proline or phenylalanine restricted Na<sup>+</sup> uptake and enhanced the uptake of K<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>/Na<sup>+</sup> ratio, Ca<sup>++</sup> and P selectivity in maize and broad bean plants.

key words: Proline, Phenylalanine, Sprying, Salinity, Maize, Broad bean.

### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

# The role of amino acids in improvement in salt tolerance of crop plants

Abd El-Samad<sup>1</sup>, H. M., M. A. K. Shaddad<sup>2</sup> and N. Barakat<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Botany Department, Faculty of Science, El-Minia University, El-Minia, Egypt <sup>2</sup>Botany Department, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt

E. mail hmdia10@yahoo.com

Received May 5, 2010

The present work has been performed to study the growth and metabolic activities of maize and broad bean plants which are shown to have a degree of sensitivity to salinity and to determine the role of amino acids proline or phenylalanine in increasing the salt tolerance of theses plants. Dry mass, water content, leaf area and photosynthetic pigment of maize and broad bean plants decreased with increasing salinity. These changes were accompanied with a drop in the contents of soluble sugars, soluble proteins and amino acids. This was accompanied by a marked increase in the proline content. When maize and broad bean plants sprayed with proline or phenylalanine the opposite effect was occurred, saccharides as well as proteins progressively increased at all sanitization levels and proline concentration significantly declined. Salinity significantly increased the sodium content in both shoots and roots of maize and broad bean plants, while a decline in the accumulation of K<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>++</sup>, Mg<sup>++</sup> and P was observed. Amino acids treatments markedly altered the selectivity of Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>++</sup> and P in both maize and broad bean plants. Spraying with any of either proline or phenylalanine restricted Na<sup>+</sup> uptake and enhanced the uptake of K<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>/Na<sup>+</sup> ratio, Ca<sup>++</sup> and P selectivity in maize and broad bean plants.

key words: Proline, Phenylalanine, Sprying, Salinity, Maize, Broad bean.

Soil solutions impose both ionic and osmotic stresses on plants. These can be distinguished at several levels. In salt-sensitive plants, shoot and to a lesser extent root growth is permanently reduced within hours of salt stress and this effect does not appear to depend on  $Na^+$  concentrations in the growining tissues, but rather is response to the osmolarity of the external solution (Munns *et al.* 

2000; Munns, 2002). Na<sup>+</sup>-specific damage is associated with the accumulation of Na<sup>+</sup> in leaf tissues and results in necrosis of older leaves. Growth and yield reductions occur as a result of the shortening of the lifetime of individual leaves, thus reducing net productivity and crop yield (Munns, 1993, 2002). Osmotic damage (i.e. osmotically driven removal of water evaporates could occur as a result of the build up of high concentrations of Na<sup>+</sup> in the leaf apoplast, since Na<sup>+</sup> enters leaves in the xylem stream and is left behind as water evaporates (Flowers et al. 1991, Katerji et al., 2000, 2001, Garacia et al. 2009). The cellular toxicity of Na<sup>+</sup> causes anther type of osmotic problem. Plants need to maintain internal water potential below that of the soil to maintain turgor and water uptake for growth. This requires an increase in osmotica, either by uptake of soil solutes or by synthesis of metabolically benign (compatible) solutes (Tester and Davenport, 2003). The stress-induced Arabidopsis and rice genes are thought to be involved in the plants response and tolerance to environmental stresses (Seki et al., 2002; Rabbani et al., 2003). Many plants accumulate compatible osmolytes, such as Pro, Gly betaine, or sugars, under osmotic stress. Pro biosynthesis from Glu appears to be the predominant pathway under stress conditions, because the repressed salt-stress ornithine omega-aminotransferase expression (the enzyme responsible for synthesis of Pro from Orn), induced the synthesis of Pro from Glu (Delauney and Verma, 1993; Delauney et al., 1993).

Thus the aim of the present work was to improvement the salt tolerance of maize and broad bean plants by exogenous application of proline or phenylalanine.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Maize (*Zea mays*) and broad bean (*Vicia faba*) plants were grown in plastic pots in the soil without NaCl (control) and under salinization levels corresponding to osmotic potential of NaCl solution of 0.3, 0.6,0-.9 and 01.2 MPa added to the soil in such a way that the soil solution acquired the assigned salinization levels at field capacity. Treatments of plants with saline solutions began when seedlings were two weeks old. The salinized and non-salinized plants were irrigated every other day with 1/10 Pfeffer's nutrient solution for two weeks. Then proline or phenylalanine (100 ppm) solutions were added to the soil (5 intervals according to field capacity). The control plants were treated with distilled water. A week after the plants was used for analysis.

Dry matter was determined after drying plants in an aerated oven at 70° C to constant mass. Leaf area was measured by the disk method (Watson and Watson, 1953). Saccharides were determined by the anthrone-sulfuric acids method (Fales, 1951). Free amino acids, proline and a soluble protein contents were measured according to Moore and Stein (1948), Bates et al (1973) and Lowry et al. (1951) respectively. Calcium and potassium were determined by flame-photometer method (Schwarzenbach and Biedermann 1948) and phosphorus calorimetrically (Woods and Mellon, 1985).

### RESULTS

Dry mass, water content, the leaf area, and photosynthetic pigments regarded as a growth parameter decreased generally with the rise of salinization level according to the plant type (Table 1,2). In broad bean plants, it remained unaffected up to -0.6 MPa, above which the values were reduced. In case of maize plants, salinity induced a sharp and progressive reduction in the values of leaf area especially at higher salinity level. Spraying the salinized plants with any of the two amino acids, proline or phenylalanine induced a significant increase in the total pigment contents and leaf area compared with untreated plants.

The soluble sugar contents in maize shoots and roots progressively decreased with the elevation of salinity levels (Table 3). In shoots of broad bean plants, the soluble sugar contents remained unchanged with increase of salinity levels, while in roots they decrease progressively. The soluble protein contents in shoots and roots of maize plants were appreciably lowered by salinity stress (Table 3). however, the pattern of this reduction was found to be constant at all salinity levels. In shoots it appeared mostly inconsistent. In shoot of broad bean plants, there was as progressive decrease in nitrogen contents, in roots these content remained unaffected with rise of salinization levels. The amino acids content was decreased progressively in shoots and roots of maize and broad bean plants with increasing NaCl stress (Table 3). The addition of the experimental amino acids resulted a considerable accumulation of in the contents of soluble sugar, soluble protein and amino acids in both shoots and roots of maize and broad bean plants as compared with control plants.

Proline concentration in shoots and roots of both maize and broad bean plants significantly increased with increasing salinity (Table 1). The accumulation of proline in root of maize plants was much more than in roots while in broad bean plants, shoots accumulated more proline than in roots. Amino acids treatment markedly decreased proline accumulation in the shoots and roots of both plants (Table 1).

Sodium concentration increased with the increased salinity (Table 4) The increase in  $Na^+$  was much greater in broad bean plants than in maize plants. The distribution of  $Na^+$  was much higher in

roots than in shoots of maize plants, but was distributed evenly in shoots and in roots of broad bean plants. Salinity decreased the flux of sodium to the shoot of maize plant only. However, the flux of K<sup>+</sup> to the shoot of maize plants was much more than in broad bean plants. Amino acids treatments retarded the absorption and consequently the accumulation of Na<sup>+</sup>, especially in maize plants than in broad bean. Salinity decreased the accumulation of Ca<sup>++</sup>, Mg<sup>++</sup> and P of both maize and broad bean plants. Amino treatments significantly acids increase the accumulation of Ca<sup>++</sup>, Mg<sup>++</sup> and K<sup>+</sup>/Na<sup>+</sup> ratio (Table 4).

#### DISCUSSION

Dry mass, water content, leaf area and photosynthetic pigment of maize and broad bean plants decreased with increasing salinity. These changes were accompanied with a drop in the contents of soluble sugars and soluble proteins. Osmotic and specific ion effects are the most frequently mentioned mechanisms by which saline substrates reduce plant growth. However, the relative importance of osmotic and specific ion effect on plant growth seems to vary depending on the salt tolerance of the plants. Such effects are resulted by decreasing the rate of water uptake due to osmo-effects, through ion-specific toxic effects, or through a nutritional imbalance as the result of inter-element antagonism (Levitt, 1980 and Quayum et al., 1991 and Hamdia and Shaddad, 1996). Treatment with proline or phenylalanine (100 ppm) significantly increased these growth characteristics even at lowest salinity level tested.

Maize	NaCl	Dry wei	ght	Water		Proline		
	-MPa	Shoot	Root	Shoot	Root	Shoot	Root	
	0.0	0.31	0.41	8,2	4.7	0,07	0.08	
	0.3	0.29	0.29	8,7	4.4	0,08	0.08	
Control	0.6	0.24	024	5.3	3.6	0.9	1.2	
	1.2	0.17	018	3.8	3.2	1.1	1.2	
	0.0	0.79	0.37	16,8	7,5	0.02	0.02	
	0.3	0.28	0.36	8.5	6.4	0.03	0.02	
Proline	0.6	0.29	0.49	9.9	5.1	0.05	0.03	
	1.2	0.39	0.44	6.7	3.8	0.06	0.04	
	0.0	0.52	0.53	12.2	6.3	0.01	0.01	
	0.3	0.42	0.39	9.4	6.2	0.02	0.01	
Phenyl	0.6	0.37	0.39	9.4	.3.8	0.03	0.02	
alanine	1.2	0.25	0.15	6.9	5.3	0.03	0.03	
L.S.D.5%		0.175	0.02	1.8	0.76	0.02	0.03	
Broad								
bean								
	0.0	1.19	1.18	7.3	3.1	0.09	0.04	
	0.3	1.02	1.02	7.0	3.6	1.01	0.08	
Control	0.6	0.94	0.94	5.0	2.9	1.2	1.0	
	1.2	0.88	0.88	4.6	2.8	1.5	1.3	
	0.0	1.99	1.18	13.3	8.5	0.06	0.03	
	0.3	2.48	1.02	14.9	6.9	0.08	0.02	
Proline	0.6	1.98	0.93	13.8	5.2	0.09	0.04	
	1.2	1.57	0.66	6.9	6.2	1.0	0.03	
	0.0	1.63	1.36	9.9	6.7	0.04	0.03	
	0.3	1.41	1.38	6.9	5.9	0.06	0.03	
Phenyl	0.6	1.67	1.77	7.7	8.3	0.06	0.04	
alanine	1.2	1.08	1.23	8.1	5.4	0.08	0.04	
L.S.D. 5%		5.16	0.15	0.56	0.19	0.09	0.01	

**Table 1.** Effect of osmotic stress and treatment with praline and phenylalanine on dry matter (g plant<sup>-1</sup>)and proline content (mg g<sup>-1</sup> d.m.)of shoot and root of maize and broad bean plants grown for 45-<br/>days.

**Table 2.** Effect of osmotic stress and treatment with praline and phenylalanine on pigment content (mg g<sup>-1</sup> d.m.) and leaf area Cm<sup>2</sup> of maize and broad bean plants grown for 45-days.

Maize	NaCl	Chl. a	Chl. b	Carot.	Total pigment	Leaf area
Control	0.0	4.4	1.4	0.81	6.6	1.02
	0.3	4.8	1.6	0.91	7.3	71.7
	0.6	2.2	0.70	0.35	3.0	45.7
	1.2	1.2	0.65	0.22	2.0	40.8
	0.0	4.9	1.0	1.2	3.8	139.9
Proline	0.3	4.6	1.1	0.56	6.2	120.8
	0.6	4.5	1.3	0.63	6.2	65.8
	1.2	4.3	1.3	0.85	6.4	49.9
	0.0	4.6	0.95	0.729	6.1	134.2
Phenl	0.3	4.0	1.1	0.89	6.1	143.8
	0.6	4.3	1.2	0.89	6.9	69.2
	1.2	4.5	1.0	0.71	6.7	60.3
L.S.D. 5%		1.3	0.34	0.08	1.9	4.3
Broad bean						
	0.0	1.5	0.40	0.49	2.4	24.9
Control	0.3	1.3	0.25	0.38	1.9	23.1
	0.6	1.2	0.29	0.34	1.8	20.3
	1.2	0.56	0.13	0.20	0.89	15.1
	0.0	1.5	0.49	0.57	2.6	50.8
Proline	0.3	1.7	0.55	0.41	2.7	51.8
	0.6	1.8	0.53	0.39	2.6	53.4
	1.2	1.4	0.34	0.47	2.2	47.9
	0.0	1.5	0.58	0.41	2.5	30.5
Phenyl	0.3	1.4	0.39	0.43	2.3	34.4
alanine	0.6	1.7	039	0.47	2.5	33.3
	1.2	1.5	.63	0.33	2.4	23.8
L.S.D. 5%		0.09	0.02	0.02	0.21	4.9

**Table 3.** Effect of osmotic stress and treatment with praline and phenylalanine on soluble sugar (mg g-1d.m.), total nitrogen (mg g-1 d.m.) and amino acids (mg g-1 d.m.) of shoot and root of maizeand broad bean plants grown for 45-days.

Maize	NaCl	Solubl	e sugar	Solubl	e protein	Amino acids		
		Shoot	Shoot	Shoot	Root	Shoot	Root	
Control	0.0	67.3	44.9	39.2	31.2	38.7	24.1	
	0.3	52.7	41.2	35.8	26.8	22.9	23.4	
	0.6	39.6	37.6	32.7	26.5	20.8	14.2	
	1.2	25.9	27.9	33.4	23.8	20.5	11.2	
	0.0	73.3	60.3	41.5	52.2	56.6	35.8	
Proline	0.3	70.9	57.7	37.5	34.6	54.5	32.0	
	0.6	57.5	53.5	40.8	31.7	59.7	30.6	
	1.2	39.6	33.4	35.6	26.9	45.5	24.2	
	0.0	69.4	52.9	37.5	44.3	72.7	29.4	
Phenl	0.3	63.3	47.7	44.4	38.4	62.2	34.1	
alanine	0.6	58.0	83.2	44.4	36.8	47.5	27.5	
ulullille	1.2	37.2	34.2	36.7	35.4	38.5	15.2	
L.S.D. 5%	1.2	4.6	4.3	1.2	5.2	5.2	2.0	
Broad								
bean	0.0	37.5	83.0	38.7	27.7	88.5	94.5	
Control	0.3	39.6	74.0	40.7	26.3	87.1	63.1	
	0.6	36.3	36.4	30.5	26.5	80.8	67.9	
	1.2	36.0	34.3	25.9	26.6	72.4	45.2	
	0.0	57.1	72.4	45.6	42.6	96.4	115.2	
Proline	0.0	52.9	49.6	41.2	37.6	87.2	63.1	
Tronne	0.6	60.6	54.7	36.0	35.5	80.8	60.5	
	1.2	66.4	51.5	37.7	33.7	72.4	45.2	
	0.0	70.7	86.8	47.3	35.6	114.0	110.8	
Phenyl	0.3	66.9	72.4	46.4	40.8	77.0	61.5	
alanine	0.6	60.8	55.6	45.2	37.1	98.5	62.2	
	1.2	63.8	49.2	43.4	32.5	105.6	43.9	
L.S.D 5%		14.5	5.8	2.3	3.3	3.3	5.6	

Maize	NaCl	Shoot								Ro	oot						
		Na <sup>+</sup>	K <sup>+</sup>	K+/ Na+	Ca+ +	Mg ++	Р	Na <sup>+</sup>	K <sup>+</sup>	K+/ Na+	Ca+ +	Mg+ +	Р				
Control	0.0	4.3	14.7	3.4	1.9	1.2	1.5	8.8	8.1	0.92	2.0	1.3	1.6				
Control	0.0	18.9	19.8	1.0	2.0	1.2	1.6	248	11.1	0.92	1.9	1.5	1.3				
	0.6	30.1	15.3	0.51	1.8	1.2	1.0	35.3	13.8	0.39	1.2	1.2	1.2				
	1.2	47.6	9.8	0.21	1.5	1.1	0.88	51.6	8.6	0.17	1.1	1.1	0.9				
	1.2	17.0	7.0	0.21	1.0	1.1	0.00	01.0	0.0	0.17	1.1		0.9				
	0.0	4.3	18.1	4.2	2.2	1.4	2.7	4.3	14.8	3.4	3.2	1.3	2.5				
Proline	0.3	13.1	22.5	1.7	2.4	1.4	3.1	13.1	19.2	1.5	2.7	1.5	2.3				
	0.6	19.4	22.5	1.2	2.2	13	2.5	30.2	22.4	0.74	2.6	1.5	2.3				
	1.2	25.8	26.2	1.0	2.2	1.2	2.1	45.2	31.7	0.7	2.6	1.5	2.1				
	0.0	4.6	11.4	2.5	2.1	1.6	2.1	2.3	10.9	4.7	2.0	1.8	2.8				
Phenl	0.3	9.5	12.9	1.4	2.0	1.2	1.6	6.5	10.2	1.6	2.2	1.5	1.3				
	0.6	16.8	15.8	0.94	2.1	1.1	1.5	13.4	15.9	1.2	2.1	1.1	1.1				
	1.2	20.5	16.0	0.78	1.6	1.2	1.1	25.8	25.8	1.0	1.9	1.2	0.97				
L.S.D. 5%		0.77	1.4	1.0	3.0	0.8	0.04	0.14	0.18	0.44	5.1	0.59	0.15				
								•				•	•				
Broad																	
bean	0.0	15.1	12.7	0.84	2.0	7.3	1.0	10.5	7.7	0.73	2.3	1.5	1.0				
Control	0.3	30.5	10.8	0.35	2.3	5.1	1.0	38.2	9.2	0.24	2.5	1.5	0.8				
	0.6	45.1	10.8	0.24	2.0	5.0	0.85	45.3	10.2	0.23	1.9	1.1	0.7				
	1.2	57.3	10.2	0.18	1.5	4.9	0.63	67.7	11.9	0.18	1.4	1.1	0.3				
	0.0	10.3	8.7	0.84	2.3	1.3	2.1	7.5	7.1	0.95	2.7	1.5	1.9				
Proline	0.3	17.5	9.3	0.53	1.6	1.1	2.2	38.7	9.3	0.24	2.3	1.3	1.9				
	0.6	25.1	8.9	0.35	1.4	1.3	2.0	42.4	7.5	0.17	2.1	1.4	1.3				
	1.2	45.2	9.1	0.20	1.2	1.5	1.7	65.1	6.8	0.1	2.1	1.4	1.2				
	0.0	13.8	10.3	0.75	1.8	1.0	1.9	7.5	17.1	2.3	1.9	1.9	1.9				
Phenyl	0.3	32.3	9.4	0.29	2.5	7.8	1.5	41.9	14.0	0.33	1.6	1.8	1.0				

Table 4. Effect of osmotic stress and treatment with praline and phenylalanine on mineral content (mg g<sup>-1</sup>
d. m.) of shoot and root of maize and broad bean plants grown for 45-days.

Osmotic adjustment, defined as lowering of osmotic potential due to net solute accumulation in water stress, has been considered to be a beneficial

10.2

9.6

0.67

alanine

L.S.D..

5%

0.6

1.2

35.2

48.4

8.6

0.29

0.19

1.2

2.2

1.3

2.2

7.0

8.0

1.2

1.7

1.1

0.09

48.6

54.5

10.8

13.0

10.7

2.0

0.27

0.19

0.54

drought tolerant mechanism for some crop species (Girma *et al.*, 1992; and Hamdia, 2002) .When plants experience environmental stress, such as drought,

1.2

1.1

1.6

1.4

0.7

0.06

1.2

1.1

3.0

high salinity, they activate various metabolic and defense system to survive. A number of genes corresponding to these stresses and their products were analyzed in Arabidopsis ( Ono et al., 2003; Marayama et al., 2004) plants. For example, osmoprotectants, such as proline, glycine betaine, manitol and sugars confer stress tolerance. (Yamada et al., 2005). The observed loss of soluble saccharides, soluble protein and amino acids of shoots and roots of salinized maize and broad bean plants was accompanied by a marked increase in the proline content. These results are in accordance with previously reported findings of Devitt et al. (1973) and Hamdia and El-Komy (1998). It is worthy to mention that in our experiment when maize and broad bean plants where spraying with proline or phenylalanine the opposite effect was occurred, saccharides as well as proteins progressively increased at all sainization levels. This was accompanied by a increased in amino acids of both shoot and root system. Thus treatments with either proline or phenylalanine might play an important role in protein synthesis

The physiological significance of proline accumulation is controversial, while some researches have reported that it is a sign of stress (Rai *et al.*, 2003 and Hernandez *et al.*, 2000 and Yamad *et al.*, 2005). Other suggests that at a high concentration it acts as solute intercellular osmotic adjustment (Silveria *et al.*, 2002). Accordingly our results dry matter production significantly decreased while proline accumulation was higher and detected earlier at a lower salinity concentration in broad bean plants compared to the maize plants, proline accumulation was higher in shoot than in root of broad bean plants, the opposite situation was observed between shoots and roots of maize plants.

Tissue water content remained unchanged in maize and broad bean at -0.3 MPa NaCl level, this parallel with proline accumulation in both shoots and

roots of maize plants. While proline accumulation significantly increase at -0.3 MPa in both shoots and roots of broad bean plants. It is worthy to point that similar distribution of Na<sup>+</sup> in shoots and roots of broad bean plants, while the proline concentration was higher in shoots than in roots. The opposite situation was occurred in maize plants, where the concentration of both proline and Na<sup>+</sup> was higher in roots than in shoots. Our results show a lack of a consistent correlation between salinity tolerance and proline concentration.

When salt stressed maize and broad bean plant were spraved with proline or phenylalanine, proline concentration significantly declined, while the amount of dry matter and water content for both maize and broad bean plants increased. This is accordance with the results obtained by (Shaddad and Heikal, 1982, Thakur and Rai, 1985, Hamdia 1987, Cuin and Shabala, 2005). This decline in proline concentration with salinity and treatment with amino acids was accompanied by а pronounced accumulation of other organic solutes ( saccharides, protein and total amino acids). Treatment with proline or phenylalanine increased, to some extent, salt tolerance of these two plants through osmoregulation, using the organic solutes rather than proline. This confirms the view of many authors (Manetas, 1990, Silveira et al., 2003 and Yamada et al., 2005). The result here was in accordance with the result obtained by Cuin and Shabala (2005) has been suggested the role of compatible solutes in plant stress responses is not limited to conventional osmotic adjustment, but also includes some other regulatory or osmoprotective functions. One such function is in mainting cytosolic K<sup>+</sup> homeostasis by preventing NaCl induced K<sup>+</sup> leakage from the cell, a feature that may conifer salt tolerance in many species particularly in barley (Botella, et al., 1997; Cuin and Shabala, 2005). They showed also that low (-0.5 mM) concentrations of exogenously supplied proline significantly reduced NaCl induced  $K^+$  efflux from barley roots in a dose-response manner. Also Yamada *et al* (2005) suggested that exogenous L-proline, plants treated with 5 mM L-proline accumulated up to 18 times more free than untreated plant. Hernandez *et al.* (2000) showed the capacity of tomato leaf tissues to accumulate proline in response to a salt shock (150 mM NaCl ) applied to excised shoots, leafs, leaflets or leaf discs was determined and compared to that whole plants grown at the same salinity.

When plants experience environmental stresses, such as drought, high salinity, and low temperatures, they activate various metabolic and defense systems to survive. A number of genes corresponding to these stresses and their products were analyzed in Arabidopsis (Seki et al., 2002; Ono et al., 2003; Maruyama et al., 2004) and rice (Rabbani et al., 2003). Many genes and products commonly appear in response to drought, salinity, and low-temperature stresses. For example, osmoprotectants, such as proline (Pro), glycine betaine, manitol, and sugars confer stress tolerance. Transgenic plants have enhanced tolerance to drought and salinity and to drought and cold (Kavi Kishor et al., 1995; Huang et al., 2000; Abebe et al., 2003). Roosens et al., 1998 stated that in adult Arabidopsis plants, the free Pro increase was mainly due to the enzyme activity in the Glu pathway. However, in young Arabidopsis plants, the Orn and Glu pathways together play an important role in accumulating Pro during osmotic stress. It is suggested that P5CS is an important enzyme for Pro biosynthesis and accumulations in young petunia plants. It also increases their ability to withstand drought and salinity stresses.

Crop performance may adversely affected by salinity- induced nutritional disorders. These disorders may result from the effect of salinity on nutrient availability, competitive uptake, transport or partitioning within plant (Grattan, 1999, Katerji *et al.*, 2000, 2001). In our results salinity significantly increase in leaf area and photosynthetic pigment was concomitant by increase in the Mg<sup>++</sup> content leading to the more accumulation of saccharides as a result of spraying with each amino acids 100 ppm proline or phenylalanine.

Amino acids treatments markedly altered the selectivity of Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>++</sup> and P in both maize and broad bean plants. Spraying with any of either proline or phenylalanine restricted Na<sup>+</sup> uptake and enhanced the uptake of K<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>/Na<sup>+</sup> ratio, Ca<sup>++</sup> and P selectivity in maize and broad bean plants. However, maize plants have a lower Na<sup>+</sup> in shoot and root, concomitant with a marked decrease in growth (dry matter and water content) as a result of amino acids treatment compared to broad bean while an increase Na<sup>+</sup> content was observed with a marked increase in growth criteria. Thus, besides the possible effect of amino acids treatment in reducing Na<sup>+</sup> concentration in maize tissue, an increase in water content may be responsible for increased growth in salinity and amino acids treatments (Cramer et al., 1985, Hamdia et al., 2004).

Generally, it can be said that the exogenous amino acids treatments might counteract the negative effects of salinity exerted on saccharides, nitrogen metabolism and mineral, which consequently could promote the plant growth.

### REFERENCES

- Abebe, T., Guenzi, A. C., Martin, B. and Cushman, j. C. (2003). Tolerance of mabbitol accumulating transgenic w heat to water stress and salinity. Plant Physiology. 131: 1748-1755.
- Bates, L. S. Waldern, R. P., Teare, I. D. (1973). Rapid determination of free proline for water stress studies. Plant soil. 39: 205-207.

- Botella, M. A., Martinez, V.; Pardines, J.; Cerda, A. (1997). Salinity induced potassium deficiency in maize plants. J. Plant Physiology. 150: 200-205.
- Cramer, M. D., Lauchi, A. and Polito, V. S. (1985). Displacement of Ca<sup>+2</sup> by N from the plasmalemma of root cells. A primary response to salt stress? Plant Physiol. 79: 207-211.
- Cuin, . T. A., and Shabala, S. (2005). Exigenously supplied compatible rapidly ameliorate NaClinduced potassium efflux from barley roots. Pant and Cell Physiology. 46: 1924-1933.
- Delauney, A. J. and Verma, D. P. (1993). Proline biosythesis and osmoregulation in plants. The Plant journal. 4: 215-223.
- Delauney, A. J., Hu, C. A., Kishor, P. B. and verma, D. P. (1993). coloning of ornithine deltaaminotrans from *Vigna aconitifolia* by *trans* complementation in *Escherichia coli* and regulation of proline biosynthesis. Journal of Biological Chemisry. 268, 18673-18678.
- Devitt, D. A., Ktolzy, L., Labanauskas, C. K. (1987). Impact of potassium, sodium and salinity on the protein and free amino acid content of wheat grain. Plant Soil. 103: 101-109.
- Fales, D. R (1951). The assimilation and degradation of carbohydrates of yeast cells. J. Biol. Chem. 193: 113-118,.
- Fales, D. R: The assimilation and degradation of carbohydrates of yeast cells. J. Biol. Chem. 193: 113-118, 1951.
- Flowers, T. J., Hajibagheri, M. A., Yeo, A. R. (1991). Ion accumulation in the cell walls of rice plants growing under salineconditionsevidence for Oertli hypothesis. Plant, Cell and Environment. 14: 319-325.
- Girma, F. S., Krieg, D. R., and Daniel, R. K. (1992). Osmotic adjustment in *Sorghum*. 1.

Mechanisms of diurna osmotic potential changes. Plant Physiol. 99: 577-582.

- Girma, F. S., Krieg, D. R., and Daniel, R. K. (1992). Osmotic adjustment in *Sorghum*. 1. Mechanisms of diurna osmotic potential changes. Plant Physiol. 99: 577-582.
- Grattan, S. R. and Grieve, C. M. (1999). Salinitymineral nutrient relations in horticultural crops. 78: 127-157.
- Hamdia, M. A. and Shadad, M. A. K. (1997). Salt tolerance of soybean cultivars. Biologia Plantarum. 39: 263-269.
- Hamdia, M. A., and El-Komy, M. H. A. (1998). Effect of salinity, gibberllic acid and *Azospirillum* inoculation on growth and nitrogen uptake of *Zea mays*. Biologia Plantarum. 40: 109-120.
- Hamdia, M. A. (1987). Response of some plants to the interactive effects of salinity and amino acids. Thesis in El-Minia University, Faculty of science, Botany department. 1-127.
- Hamdia, M. A.: Shaddad, M. A. K. and Doaa, M. M. (2004). Mechanisms of salt tolerance and interactive effect of Azospirillum brasilense inoculation on maize cultivars grown under salt stress. Plant Growth Regulation. 44: 165-174.
- Hamdia, M. A.and El-komy H. M. (1998). Effect of salinity, gibberellic acid and *Azospirillum* inoculation on growth and nitrogen uptake of *Zea mays*. Boil Plant. 109: 109-120.
- Hernandez, S., Deleu, C. and Larrher, F. (2000). Proline accumulation by tomato tissue in response to sailinty. 6: 551-557.
- Huang, J. Hirji, R. Adam, L., Rozwadowski, K. L., Hammerlindl. J. K., Keller, W. A., Selvaraj, G. (2000). Engineing of glycinbetaine production toward enhancing strss tolerance in plants: metalimitation. Plant Physiology. 122: 747-756.

- Garacia, J. R., Estrada, J. A., Gonzalez, M. T., Ayala C. R. and Moreno D. M. (2010). Exogenous application of growth regulators in snap bean under water stress and salinity. Journal of Stress Physiology & Biochemistry. 5, 13, 21.
- Katerji, N., van Hoorn, j. W., Hamdy, A. and Mastrorilli, M. (2000). Salt tolerance classification of crops according to soil salinity and water stress day index. Agricultural Water Management. 43: 99-109.
- Katerji, N., van Hoornm J. W., Hamdy, A., mastrorilli, M. Oweis, T. and Malhotra, R. S. (2001). response to soil salinity of two chickpea varieties differeing in drought tolerance. 50: 88-96.
- Kavi kishor, P. B., Hong, Z., Miao, G. H., Hu, C. A. A., Verma D. P. S. and Verma, D. P. S. (1995). Overexpression Δ1-P carboxylate synthetase increase proline production and confers osmotolerance in transgenic. Plant Physiology. 108: 1387-1394.
- Levitt, J. (1980). Salt stress, pp. 365-454. IV; Respnses of plants to environmental stress. Volum II, Academic Press, New York. NY.
- Lowry, O. H, Rosenbrough, N. J. Farr, A. L. Ramdall, R. J. (1951). Protein measurement with the Folin Phenol reagent. J. Biol. Chem. 193: 265-275,.
- Manetas, (1990). Are-examination of NaCl effects on phosphenol pyruvate carboxylase at high physiological, enzyme concentration. Physiol. Plantarum. 78: 225-229.
- Maruyama, K., Sakama, Y., Kasuga, M., Ito, Y., Seiko, M., Goda, H., Shimada, Y., Yoshida, S. and Shinozaki, K. (2004). Identification of coldinducible downstream genes of the *Arabidopsis*, DREB1A/CBF3 transcriptional factor using two

microarry systems. The Plant Journal. 38: 982-993.

- Moore, S. and Stien, W. (1948). Photometric ninhydrin method for use in the chromatography of amino acids. J. Biol. Chem. 17: 367-3.
- Munns, R. (1993). Physiological processes limiting plant growth in saline soils: some dogmas and halophyted. Plant, Cell and environment. 16: 15-24.
- Munns, r. (2002). Comparative physiology of salt and water stress. Plant, Cell and environment. 25: 239-167.
- Munns, R., Hare, R. A., Games, R. A, Rebetzke, G. J. (2000). Genetic variation for improving the salt tolerance of durum wheat. Austalian. Journal of Agricultural Research, 51: 69-74.
- Najafi, F., Nejad R. A. and Ali M. S. (2010). The effects of salt stress on certain physiological parameters in summer savory (*Satureja hortensis* L.) plants. Journal of Stress Physiology & Biochemistry, Vol. 6 No. 1 2010, pp. 13-21
- Ono, Y., Seki, M., Nanjo, T., Narusaka, M., Fujita, M., Satou, M., Sakurai, T., Ishida, J. (2003).
  Monitoring expression profiles of *Arabidopsis* gene expression during rehydration process after dehydration using ca 7000 full-length cDNA microrray. The Plant Journal. 34: 868-887.
- Quayum, H. A., Panaullah, G. M., and Haque, M. S. (1991). A comparative study of osmotic ion effects of salinity on two rice varietie, Okkali and Mi 48. Bengaladesh, J. Bot. 20: 137-142.
- Rabbani, M. A., Maruyama, K., Abe , H., Khan, M.A., Katsura, K., Yto, Y., Yoshiwara, K., Seki,M., Shinozaki, K. and Yamaguchi-Shinozaki,K, (2003). Monitoring expression profiles of

rice genes under cold, high salinity stresses and abscisic acid application using cDNA microarray and -. RNA- gel bolt analysis. Plant Physiology. 133: 1755-1767.

- Rai, S. P., Luthra, R. and Kumar, S. (2003). Salt-tolerant mutants in glycophytic salinity response (GRS) genes in *Catharanthus roseus*. Theor. Appl. Genet. 106: 221-230.
- Roosens, N. h., Thu, T. T., Iskandar, H. M. and Jacobs, M. (1998): Isolation of ornithine –deltaamicDNA and effect of salt stress on its expression in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Pysiology. 117.
- Roosens, N. H., Thu, T. T., Iskandar, H. M., Jacobs, M. (1998). Isolation of the ornithine-deltaamintransferase cDNA and effect of salt stress on its expression in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Physiology. 117: 236-271.
- Schwarzenbach, G. , Biedermann, W. (1948). Komplexone X. Erdalkalikomplexe von o,6-Dioxyazofarbstoffen,. Helv. Chim. Acta. 31: 678-687,.
- Seki M, Narusaka M, Ishida J, Nanjo T, Fujita M, Oono Y, Kamiya A, Nakajima M, Enju A.and Sakurai T. 2002. Monitoring the expression profiles of 7000 *Arabidopsis* genes under drought, cold, and high-salinity stresses using a full-length cDNA microarray. The Plant Journal 31: 279–292.
- Shaddad, M. A. and Heikal, M. M. (1982). Interactive effect of gibberellic acid and salinity on kidney bean. Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut Univ. 11: 135-149.
- Silveira, J. A., Viegas Rde, A., da Rocha, I. M., Moreira, A. C., Moreira Rde, A. and Oliveira, J. T. (2003). Proline accumulation and glutamine synthetase activity and increased by saltinduced proeolysis in cashew leaves. J. Plant Physiol. 160: 115-123.

- Thakur, P. S. and Rai, V. K. (1985). Exogenously supplied amino acids and water deficits in Zea *mays* cultivars. Biologia Plantarum. 27: 458-461.
- Tester, M. and Davenport, R. (2003). Na<sup>+</sup> tolerance and Na<sup>+</sup> transport in higher plants. Annals of Botany. 91: 503-527.
- Watson, D. J. and Watson M. A. (1953). Studies in potatoes agronomy. 1- Effect of variety seed size and spacing on growth, development and yield. J. Agr. Sci. 66: 249-249.
- Williams, V., Twine, S. (1960). Flame photometeric method for sodium, potassium, and calcium. In: Paech, K., Tracey, M. V. (ed): Modern Methods of Plant Analysis. Vol. Pp. 3-5. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Woods, and Mellon, (1941). Chlorostannous reduced molybdophosphoric blue color method, in sulphuric acid system. In Soil Chemical Analysis by Jackson, M. L. (1985). Printic-Hall International, Inc., London.
- Yamada, M., Morishita, H. and Urano, K. (2005). Effects of free proline accumulation in petunias under drought stress. Journal of Experimental Botany. 417: 1975-1981.